

Vaccines & Timelines

24 August 2021

Two unrelated topics treated in the same post, because of recent, simultaneous presentation in comments & remarks.

Q: Esteemed Committee, let's begin with the several vaccines now being administered around the world for the Covid19 virus. Are these vaccines causing or accelerating the mutations and variations of the original virus, such as what's called the Delta Variant?

C: Of course. The program of the single strand RNA is conversion; it takes over a host cell then modifies the cell to replicate the virus, not allowing the cell to reproduce itself. The cell will normally copy itself; the virus changes this process. The inherent function of a virus is to cause alteration, modification. The vaccines are causing the virus to react to them just as many, but not all viruses do, creating variations or mutations which resist the immune system programming the vaccine seeks to create. This already happens with many viruses, influenza perhaps the best known and most common example among humans.

Q: Are the vaccines going to diminish general immune system response or capability, making it easier to become infected by other unrelated viruses and bacteria?

C: Yes, and in many recipients, this has already happened.

Q: The vaccines are worsening the pandemic?

C: Extending it, prolonging it, yes.

Q: Is the cost of prevented disease and most importantly, extended hospitalization and death, worth the price?

C: Generally no, because of the side effects. Humans however cannot know in advance; we shall say but this; genetic modification of any form is not recommended. Humans know too little about this subject and should not attempt it. The desperation to preserve a fatal condition –life– is short sighted.

Q: Reproductive, cardiovascular and other conditions?

C: Yes, these have resulted.

Q: Are mass deaths caused by the vaccines likely?

C: Increases and occurrences across the vaccinated population, yes. How many are needed to constitute a mass increase is judgmental, subjective.

Q: What percentage of vaccinated recipients will perish from conditions the vaccines bring about?

C: Approximately ten percent, one in ten. This is a foretold human event. Even if not a certainty, which is to say mankind could have chosen not to create the virus or the vaccine, it was very likely. It is a part of The Shift. The human desire and now almost compulsion, to always seek safety, without considering the benefits of risk, has become too strong. Humans row the human boat, first and foremost.

Q: When will the side effects become too obvious to suppress?

C: We say in approximately one year, but disbelief and resistance to facts will always abound.

Q: What would have been the ideal response to the virus?

C: Exercise, sunlight and fresh air exposure, good health, known vitamins & minerals plus already well established medications. These would have, if administered and followed by the majority of the human population, reduced clinical infections, severity and deaths to at least the extent vaccinations have achieved, likely better.

Q: Would it have been possible to create a traditional vaccine which uses active or neutralized viruses to trigger the natural immune response?

C: Yes, with time. This has happened already with nearly all humans; few among you on Earth have not already been exposed. A vaccine of this type, such as what humans have developed for polio, influenza, yellow fever and many others, would have taken longer than the virus required to spread then burn out or dissipate. We refer to swine and Hong Kong flu as examples. The vaccines have prolonged the pandemic, in this way: the natural immune response aims at a known virus, it identifies then attacks such intruder, then custom manufactures a bespoke response to it, always ready to re-activate and re-attack. The genetic modification, the gene therapy, does not consider mutations which had not yet manifested when the gene modifier was developed. The immune system is far more dynamic, responsive and agile. It will also swiftly attack known, similar viruses in addition to the one it detected and blueprinted for specific elimination. Flaviviruses are a good example, response and recovery from many of them, impute high resistance or immunity to all, and also to others of different type, where size and shape are similar enough for the immune system to quickly recognize them.

Q: What about all these mask requirements, to change the subject a little?

C: These are for show; they stop very few viruses and only briefly. Within minutes a mask wearer will either spread or become exposed to the same viral population as other people who do not use them. The best way to avoid the virus is to avoid all contagious humans. This is not humanly possible.

Q: Do you recommend any one person taking the vaccine now?

C: Only if such person already has contributing, underlying health conditions. Such person should also do whatever is possible to improve health. An obese person can change the diet and begin to lose weight in less than a week. Doing so will provide immediate improvement and reduction of side effects to manifest later on. Reduction of alcohol consumption, improved diet, which will bring about the weight loss also, can be done quickly. These should be followed also, by a person with such medical conditions which place a person at higher risk of hospitalization from the virus.

Q: What about requirements to vaccinate?

C: These are a human behavior consideration; should humans force one another to ingest drugs, medication or any substances? The forcers will skillfully avoid individual and general collective accountability for imposing vaccinations when enough resulting deaths, sterilizations and ongoing health conditions result. Humans must stop looking to others to instruct them, because these same humans want to transfer responsibility. Advice is good; force is not. The human compulsion to impose will and control will always manifest in some of you, because it is in your collective and individual nature to control the environment. The sensation of observing one's efforts to control which soon result in compliance with orders and commands, is a difficult narcotic to resist.

Q: Okay, let's "shift" gears to timelines. When asked for a definition of one, a reader offered this: "Thoughts create timelines, so if someone has a thought about that story on say,

how many children did Yeshua have, or whether he did this or that, then those thoughts create a different timeline. New timelines for his story can be created in the now." True?

C: Yes, however one soul's thoughts do not override another. Thus creation of reality is individual. Humans are a commonality, a collective. Common elements exist to form the environment, the surroundings and the ambience of humans, for all humans, which is the reason you have incarnated. To participate in the common effect, to allow you choice of reaction to the common event. You cannot create a history after it has happened. You can explore the possibility of another, but not with the same human body.

An example would be a strong, sudden storm. The many people subject to the wind, rain, clouds, lightning or other effects can each choose their reaction, their thoughts. None of these reduce or increase the wind or rain.

Another example is travel; the visitor to India who has never been, cannot override what s/he encounters physically because s/he cannot override what the billions of incarnated souls past and present, have thought then done to create and to make what surrounds the visitor. You may always react as you prefer, but if you dislike the Taj Mahal, it still exists. Your choice is to leave, to replace your thoughts about it with other ideas you like better, in other words create distractions to something more pleasant. Most humans find this mosque very beautiful, and we do also.

Q: What about the idea someone is on another timeline, because of his or her thoughts?

C: True but not physically. Imagination is reality, but does not immediately create, or sometimes never creates, events, circumstances or physical surroundings common to all humans.

Q: What about in Heaven?

C: Your thoughts can create almost anything you might want to imagine. Other souls can visit and participate in the creations, and you likewise can and do visit, and participate, in what others have created.

These surroundings exist more solidly than do things on Earth. The hardness and solidity of rock, the strength of iron and steel, the force of moving air, the flow and power of moving water and anything like them, are possible and permanent until your thoughts choose to dis-create them. Until the surroundings are dissolved, they will always exist more solidly than anything possible for a human incarnated on Earth.

Q: Is it accurate to say, a timeline is a sequence of events?

C: Yes, but only because of the application of time. Because time does not exist away from Earth, and exists differently, often much differently, in other physical places a human might but cannot go or easily without help from your galaxial friends and couins, the existence of a timeline as a human might experience cannot be had away from human experience.

Q: When a human says s/he shifts to a different timeline, that means different events?

C: Yes, and different surroundings. Both.

Q: When trends, events or general, common occurrences take place...

C: That is the key word, place. They take place, meaning they take form, function and application.

Q: When they take place, do they affect other people?

C: Only if the other people are both aware of and accept participation.

Q: When the recent events involving the corona virus are deemed sidelined, that a person imagines a different timeline, what does that mean?

C: Nothing except to the imaginer. To believe one's choice imputes that condition, circumstance and thus reality onto others, that the view one chooses becomes the view for all, is called a delusion.

Q: People who impose their will through force are deluded?

C: Yes and no. Yes, because they have tricked themselves into believing they can actually do it. They can only do it physically, but this existence pales in comparison to the mental power of all living beings. No, not deluded because imposition of force exists. Ask anyone who experienced Adolf Hitler and his regime, as one small example.

Q: Is this the reason for the American, the USA view that possession of resistance and defense tools is sacrosanct, a right from God not to be curtailed or restricted?

C: Yes, because this view reflects reality. S/he who cannot impose physical force without serious consequence of equivalent or at least serious resistive force, refrains from it. This view is common across mankind, but is only codified in the procedures and documents of a small number of places and nations of Earth. The idea organized defense is better, is far more common. These are armed forces or military organizations.

Q: What about the idea a person can shift to a different timeline?

C: Anyone can but only for her- or himself. This desire creates not a reality for anyone else, who does not wish to join the view.

Q: Esteemed Committee, thank you.

C: You and all are always most welcome, be well. Our greetings once again, to you all we offer.