

The State of the State

3rd April 2021

What's a state, anyway? The dictionary says it's the particular condition of someone or something at a specific time. The dictionary also says, it is a nation or territory considered as an organized political community under one government. I say the state is an overlord. Let's see what The Committee says.

Q: Esteemed Committee, the last session bounced around a few topics, a stream of consciousness or bloodletting of thought, so let's focus on what seems to dominate the news. Let's set aside national identity or even awareness of it; we've all just become citizens of the world. What changes?

C: You are all citizens of the world now.

Q: Let's strip away national identity then, leaving the world citizen status. Then what?

C: This is not possible for approximately ninety nine percent of humans or possibly a higher percentage.

Q: Why not?

C: The idea other people will change is appealing until the need to change arrives on the doorstep of the person to whom it first appealed. Humans like others to agree with them, humans are less fond of discarding their own ideas, preferences or perspectives.

Q: The USA declared independence 243 years and 8 months ago tomorrow, saying the people have the right to modify or replace government when necessary, that it exists to serve the citizens who have formed it. I don't perceive government serving the people, not national governments anyway, the ones to which we attach and extract personal identity. Does this exist for any civilization in the galaxy at a similar or higher level than Earth humans? I say Earth humans because of the silly talk of Mars colonies, presumably populated by humans.

C: The correct perception that governments humans form are less given to service of people they are created to assist, are more directed to control and perpetuation of self, will fade then nearly disappear with greater awareness.

Difficult can it be to suggest among humans, from humans to other humans, that a greater awareness is available. The very moment the listener hears this, s/he believes a sales pitch is coming. The speaker is selling them something they do not want.

The example was yours suggesting a book you happened to write, on a topic of deep interest to the people who listened, yet still you encountered resistance. The listeners either wanted new books free or complained that information should be distributed at no cost, expressed using a service that is anything but free.

Greater awareness is extremely difficult to offer to skeptical human adults. Pride is far too large a portion of the human mindset, from fear of the unknown or from what is devious; this is a common thread. Sadly, deviousness can pay off well, so rewards the few extract, attract the many players willing to take a chance.

S/he who aspires to government with promises to improve, encounters the reality of establishment upon arrival. The solution to a central authority serving itself as its priority and not the creator members, is to have a smaller central authority.

At this point, place, juncture and crossroads of human development, this will require outside forces humans cannot control, but such forces are not coming with the purpose of re-setting government itself,

Or human ideas & perceptions about government.

Q: *Do our extraterrestrial cousins with interstellar travel capability, have just world / planet-wide governments?*

C: Yes, and in some cases have a single authority for several planets and the civilizations on the group.

Q: *It has been said that Earth and we humans are the freest of the free. That we are able to do more things than nearly every member of civilizations anywhere.*

C: Yes, for nearly one hundred percent of choices humans have. This aspect is the great attraction of Earth and human incarnation upon it.

Q: *What can't ETs in other civilizations do, that we assume everyone on Earth can?*

C: Disturb others against the will of the target, the affected person or group.

Q: *Does this extend to animals and plants?*

C: Yes, generally. Some civilizations allow a certain amount of one-sided acts which affect a target that did not agree to it, such as the cutting of vegetation, but in general no intentional harm or damage may come to another living being of any type, without agreement.

Q: *Who controls this?*

C: The individual.

Q: *How are resistance, sometimes rebellion managed?*

C: Awareness through education.

Q: *What happens with the young, who cannot yet be expected to understand?*

C: They are controlled and gradually released from constraints as they demonstrate they will not harm or damage things. This is precise process of human education.

Q: *We seem to fall short sometimes, I don't need to tell you.*

C: Humans can do a better job with encouragement. Positive reinforcement is the method, it is the technique used to develop what is considered good performance. Humans do this well also, but not enough. Criticism is too common among humans, too easy and too well taught.

Q: *What does a government look like on a planet orbiting Sirius?*

C: It is hard to find, because it is quite small. It collects no taxes because money is not used.

Q: *How does anybody get what they need?*

C: They ask for it, and others give it to them.

Q: *How do people get food?*

C: They go to the distribution location and retrieve it.

Q: *For free?*

C: No money is used. The eater, which is nearly everyone, contributes in other ways.

Q: *Nobody keeps track, to determine who pulls their own weight and who doesn't?*

C: Of course not, to do what, allow starvation? A slacker will have food cut down and cut back?

Q: *What happens if too many people show up for food?*

C: The proper amount is divided by the first people in line who make up that proper number. Then the remaining beings are referred elsewhere or food from elsewhere is brought to them.

Q: *They don't have leftovers? Excess which must be thrown away?*

C: No, shortfalls and excesses rarely occur because the population is well known and the diet needs are also well calculated. What meets this need is not a quantity difficult to produce.

Q: *What happens if somebody wants a food not offered?*

C: They are welcome to produce it.

Q: *Can they sell it, if they make more and other people are interested?*

C: If you mean trade, yes but no money is used. Generally the special food is given away. It is a pleasure to give nice things, yes?

Q: *No government food programs exist?*

C: No, the citizens know what they need and produce it themselves. No committee oversight would improve anything.

Q: *Can governments compel ETs to do anything?*

C: No.

Q: *Why do the governments even exist?*

C: They are excellent repositories of information, they are excellent at diplomacy.

Q: *Are we humans going this way on Earth?*

C: Yes, eventually when humans stop using money.

Q: *The USA constantly struggles with the illegal alien issue, and has for most of my adult life. I discovered it was a struggle even when I was an unaware kid, but it seems to have ballooned. Without national borders, what would happen?*

C: The borders are what create this situation. The newcomers who enter without permission, who cross the human border without following the rules, who disregard them, also like the border very much. It is the symbol and symptom of economic conditions and opportunity the improper crosser likes, seeks and hopes to maintain. If the border were not there, soon the economic landscape would become similar on both sides, the attraction fading then disappearing. When human decisions affect principally the decider, the emphasis on a larger authority fades.

Human perception of scarcity and of lack, of shortage and insufficiency, drives the government perspective, and this is what determines the state of the state.

Q: *Government perspective as in what government thinks of the general population or the other way 'round?*

C: Both, because governments are you. Humans are the state, it is an idea the humans form, create and perpetuate.

Q: *I find this idea humans will cooperate and help one another voluntarily, wonderfully attractive and yet deeply disturbing at the same time. What disturbs me is how the eager fans of such an arrangement will not accept laggards. Anybody the eager reformers see as resisting or just dragging their heels soon comes under threat of or actual force, such as Communism across Planet Earth. How does the voluntary cooperation social model develop?*

C: By example and encouragement.

Q: *That sounds so pie-in-the-sky, happy-go-lucky and so naïve, frankly.*

C: Humans will revert to a habit because they know they can. When the habit becomes impossible, when it is no longer available, is no longer a choice, it is soon forgotten. The way is voluntary, and effects now coming to Earth, not yet recognized by the general, greater population, will open the door. Not everybody will fit through the doorway simultaneously and some people will choose to remain on the same side. As more transferees pass through and see what can be done differently on the other side, word will spread. This will attract more curious onlookers, who will step through the door themselves.

Q: *How is this achieved on another planet?*

C: Children, the equivalents of them, are not educated to guess by way of an exam or a test. Children are presented a topic, shown the way through, then given the answers to work on, using the new knowledge. You would call this an open book exam. Is the destination of a trip a secret before starting out? Reaching it will far less reliable or certain that way, so nobody embarks on a journey without one. Any process is the same where knowledge and thinking are used.

As children grow older, the habit forms. They seek new information already knowing it is the unfolding of a mystery. It can be and is often very entertaining. Engineering is not the presentation of many numbers. It is, in human term equivalents, the revelation of a beautiful structure. The end result, the destination, is what is shown. The disassembly of the great thing is laid out step by step, which shows its assembly. The eagerness to perform engineering and design, in order to produce such thing, is most attractive to some people.

Q: *What if children do not like anything and withdraw?*

C: This is rare and is the result of a mental disorder or mostly parental training in almost ever instance. The parental training part is generally solved. This is overcome by community guidance, attention and companionship.

Q: *This is, I think the Earth concept of a commune. Shared life, work, resources and benefits. Doesn't a large commune destroy itself because of missing leadership?*

C: Yes, where members need instruction and direction because the habit of self-organization has not developed. This occurs because it is not taught. Humans will naturally, almost automatically, organize around a situation and need.

Q: *Right now, the "states" are bigger than ever. Based on the many things forecast here, this will change; governments will reduce.*

C: Dramatically and in a few abrupt steps as the shrink occurs.

Q: *What government functions will be cut first?*

C: This depends on each government, in general the last thing to be reduced to the point it has been cut out, are benefits paid to pensioners, retirees and similar. Disabled benefits are in a similar category. Military expense will be cut before the former things are reduced. Reverting back to which things and which order, will turn on the perceived political benefit or damage the politicians see as helping or eroding their places and positions. When it becomes clear that political considerations are fatal or even suicidal, remaining elected officials will see their own survival as the result of a general perceived survival.

Q: *Police? Fire fighters? City buildings? Garbage, rubbish and refuse collections?*

C: The USA has flirted with reduction of police and experienced the effect not examined when the movement drew attention. Less law enforcement does not create less criminal offending. These are local services, the locals will find a way to continue. Generalized services provided by a nation's central government will be the first to crumble, many to disappear for the duration.

Q: *Duration of what?*

C: Current human pattern of thinking and beliefs.

Q: *Is the general exodus, if the numbers qualify as one, of California's population a harbinger?*

C: It is an example. Government money collection is not reduced, yet government orders incomes reduced or eliminated. Soon this will greatly reduce government money collections, but expectations of receiving government funds will be last to realize the cycle. Giving money to one another, rather than using a central fund to distribute it, will be an intermediate human step before money begins to lose favor.

Q: *That idea is eye opening, the only humans I know who don't expect a tax bite taken out of everything, are children. Is this where the change happens?*

C: Always but the absence of training, of exposure to governments, is what will alter things.

Q: *How soon before the sovereign nations begin to crumble?*

C: Your human calendars cannot be used to set a number; in some cases, quite predictably, humans are retreating from the process of lowering borders. We refer to the European Union, which was really an exercise of government creation on top of governments which existed already. In nearly no case did a member nation reduce its government proportionally, to compensate for the formerly ever-growing government seated in Brussels. Switzerland avoided the arrangement for this, among many reasons.

Even though it does not yet appear this way, the crumbling of the European Union is the leading current example of retreat from government, occurring as local, national member state government is re-emphasized.

When the economic effects grow, which are now causing the European Union to crack and crumble, the same things will begin to happen in & to the member nations internally.

Q: *Is anything materially unique waiting for any other "state" around the world?*

C: Not materially. Many details and differences will come, but with the end result the same. Governments reduced to the point of near elimination, occurring beyond your lifetimes. Reductions however, inside the lifetimes of many readers here.

Q: *Texas is scheduled or should I say, forecast to secede. It seems as if this is the Democrat Party Plan, given the changes and differences being introduced at the rapid clip they are. This can't be passing under Democrats' political radar.*

C: No, quite the contrary, as we have said to you several times, and mentioned here also, the issues at the USA Mexico border are intentional. If labeled by some observers a crisis, it is a desired success. The moves made are intended to provoke and annoy Texas politicians. This formula is not new, it has been used for many years, more than two decades, to gather political support elsewhere in the USA. It is a low-cost political move to bash Texas to gather political support elsewhere.

When secession caused by frustration and inability to see a way out or a way through, bring state secession to the surface, a strange combination of conciliatory and retaliatory moves will be offered by the USA's central government to prevent the departure, but these will not be effective.

The challenge is loss of tax collections from Texas by federal agencies; the currently disproportionately good-for-the-federal government amounts, will be a painful loss. This will move the money printing scheme closer to exposure, because developing along with secession will be economic turmoil generated by geophysical changes. Economic downturns have been recently created by government action, and we add overreaction, to the virus released in China. This is not being overlooked or forgiven as easily as elected officials and their friends in mass media would prefer.

When truly uncontrollable events manifest to the point of economic effects, any luster a central government might still have will be dulled, and often be scratched and scored.

Q: It will be interesting to see Canadians and Texans, or Malays and Aussies, agreeing on many things when national borders fall.

C: These automatically natural concepts based on nationality, will not occur to anyone as easily and the wonderment at how people seem to agree or at least accept one another, will not be common. The people in one region will care very little about ideas and policies along similar topics and issues of the people in another region distant and far. The two regions will not affect one another with their decisions.

International borders will not matter much when money and taxes are not important. Immigration, and its other natural side emigration, will cease to be concepts for the great many of humans. Migration will be the idea and little will be encouraged, rewarded or considered. Many humans will like and remain their region of birth or upbringing.

The state will be in a different state.

Q: Thank you Esteemed Committee.

C: Welcome are you and all, do return.