

Sir Sean Connery

06 February 2021

Thomas Connery was born in Edinburgh, Scotland in 1930, returning home the 31st of October just last year. He was a Scottish actor, the first to portray British secret agent James Bond on film, starring in seven such productions from 1962–83.

Connery began acting in smaller theatre and television productions until his breakout role as Bond. Even though he did not prefer the attention the role created, Bond film success brought Connery offers from notable directors; he appeared in many movies from 1964 until retiring from film in 2006. Connery briefly returned as a voice actor in 2012.

His film achievements were recognised with an Academy Award, two British Academy of Film and Theatre Arts awards, including a Fellowship and also three Golden Globes. In 1987, Connery was made a Commander of the Order of Arts and Letters in France and he received the USA Kennedy Center lifetime achievement award in 1999. Connery was knighted in the year 2000.

Q: Sir John, if you do not mind the English version of your Celtic name...

SC: Not a'tall, 'twas a stage name anyway. Just a label I like and always did.

Q: What was the reason? Thomas is hardly difficult, unusual or an impediment I don't think.

SC: It sounded better I thought, it reduced the name from five to four syllables and avoided risk I'd get the nickname Tommie or Tommery.

(Sidebar – he speaks exactly as he did in many a Bond movie, with the accent we all know.)

Q: From your new perspective as a returned member of the great collective of former humans, at least new in the way we calendar-imprisoned folks see things, what overall theme do you see coursing through mankind at the moment?

C: The same as many of you see and can see if you'd like, the struggle to control ideas.

Q: Free speech?

C: Unrestricted expression, and yes.

Q: Isn't this something humans have always tried to limit?

SC: Yes in complex conversation, but that's not material to mankind. What makes a difference is the general, anonymous consumption of ideas. How can a person be punished for what is seen? This is done with some things, such as prohibited pornography where what you call children or minors are involved, only because it can be tracked. The vast majority of humans are not interested and most are repulsed by it.

The greater challenge is to authority, to control. These interests cannot prevent any one of you from hearing, seeing or reading something once it has arrived, so the far easier efforts are extended to production and delivery.

This is a nearly necessary evolution of all civilisations, it has happened with all of your neighbours eons ago by the earth calendars.

Q: This isn't avoidable?

SC: 'Tis but not among humans. The capacity to deliver has exploded, so efforts are well underway to slow this down.

Q: Censorship?

SC: Not so much, because this is too obvious. It serves its purpose as a distraction, and restrictions now being seen across humanity are aimed at diversion of attention. To cause you to look away from that you are not supposed to see. That which the authorities you have created, do not want discussed.

Q: Topics, ideas and themes certainly seem to be offered across the board.

SC: How much time, effort and attention will you give to conducting a personal survey, to frame the spectrum of ideas? The answer we souls above all see well, not nearly enough.

The goal is to convince the majority, many of whom have been trained to denounce disliked views. Elections are won with majorities.

Q: Given that many human souls reincarnate, some often but others possibly not so much, how many non-incarnated souls exist, such as yourself, with Earth experience? Veterans of a human life journey?

SC: Far less than the population of earth at the moment. As many newcomers, first time and just 2 or 3 lives including the current one, now live on earth as do the seasoned veterans. This time on earth has attracted droves and droves from across the universe.

Q: Is this free speech struggle part of the attraction?

SC: It is the main event. It is equally valuable to cause another human to shut up, for the human who can do this, as it is to be the one whose ideas and thoughts are shut in, shut down and shut off.

Q: Not everybody falls into either category.

SC: But almost all humans get to observe the process.

Q: What about efforts to compel or prohibit use of pronouns, to prevent or force use of words other than he or she, in cases of some humans who dislike being male or female, who want to change or wish to create new genders?

SC: This example is a good one, dressed up as a poor attitude, enforced by social rejection. The effort will not succeed, but it serves its purpose as a distraction. That you have asked means it worked on you.

Q: What would I have thought or seen if I had not been exposed to the notion of forced or restricted word use?

SC: Many things, but it does matter. You saw what does not matter, and thus you were not at that moment seeing something else.

Q: In Heaven, amongst our souls, this doesn't happen, ever?

SC: No, it cannot. You may close off your thoughts for many reasons but the restriction itself cannot be hidden. All souls you might encounter, will know a block is in effect. All souls seeing it will know you have your reason, and this reason is accepted, not required to be explained.

At no moment may any soul stop what other souls offer or receive. Humans have a distance to travel before achievement of this condition.

Q: Doesn't the entire process come down to physical force?

SC: Yes, at the moment humans manage force with resistance. Potential victims with ability to resist the efforts to make them into one, do not such become. Humans do not yet see information taken away by force as the threat it truly is. Resistance to censorship is not yet seen as physically lethal.

Q: The pen is mightier than the sword?

SC: You may not yet use a sword when your pen is taken away. The human challenge is, when your pen is prohibited, physical force against you can more easily follow.

Q: Okay Sir Sean, let's attack a few United Kingdom issues, if we may. Your homeland had a go at separation from Great Britain about six or so of our years ago, but the majority chose to remain. About two years later, Great Britain voted its way out of the European Union, and Scotland seemed to disagree, renewing calls for Scotland to in fact separate from the United Kingdom for the purpose of joining the European Union of which it is now no longer a part. Is Scotland going to remain part of Great Britain, the UK?

SC: Yes, because the forces rumbling in the EU are already making it obvious why Scotland would lose out. The EU would be even worse for the fortunes and future of Scotland. The EU would seize the oil and gas production, or the revenues which flow from it beyond what occurs within the United Kingdom now. England, Wales and Northern Ireland would take great exception to this and resist. The Scots understand this. The Scots are seen as the least desirable clan among the British, from the view of the EU leadership. The strong and long streak of independent ideas and thinking would not mesh well with commands, rules and order from Brussels.

Q: What is the future of the British monarchy?

SC: As you can see, shrinking to eventually dissolve.

Q: Why?

SC: It serves little purpose or function when the costs and effects are examined. It is expensive symbolism, costly pride and image.

Q: What do the Scots think about this?

SC: Nothing much different from most British. Some like it, others do not but most have little opinion. The Queen is of England, not Scotland. There is no monarch of Great Britain and never shall there one be.

Q: The upcoming changes to oil & gas production are going to make the issue moot, yes?

SC: Indeed, most Scots and Brits overall will not give much attention to the European Union, or to Scottish independence. That matter of distraction we just discussed a few lines above, will come to operate strongly. The people will pay attention to other things more pressing and relevant.

Q: The former US president Trump, as you know, is the son of a native daughter of Scotland. Did her character and culture, her philosophy of life, come to influence him as a youngster?

SC: Of course, as much as did his father.

Q: Was this instrumental to his success?

SC: A good factor and contributor, yes but his life achievements are his plan and contract also.

Q: Is the USA or any nation ever going to see a national leader rise again, such as Donald Trump?

SC: Trump himself will rise again, it is not absolutely certain to what degree and extent, but he is not gone from the scene by any means. Many voters who supported his opponent are already suffering a bit of remorse, blind to the fact that Trump was illicitly removed from office through a rigged election, as he says. Who chooses to do what and when, remains inside the reins of free will. More to come, as your guides have said here several times.

Q: You have read what's been posted here?

SC: Of course lad, as soon as I got your call to come chat. I reviewed the material. Took me no time a'tall.

Q: Was the DB5 your favourite car to drive for the Bond movies?

SC: Until I drove others, yes. I also loved motorbikes, but this is not well known, I was not a motorbike aficionado.

Q: Do you enjoy them in Heaven?

SC: Of course!

Q: How realistic were the MI5 missions in the movies? The flirting with Miss Money Penny?

SC: They were movies, of course. Not realistic. An intelligence agent's work is often dull and boring. The exciting bits are also most dangerous, but would make for dull movies still.

Q: Sir Sean, it has been an honour and great pleasure to have you come speak to us.

SC: I'm glad you enjoyed as much as I have. Always remember, shaken not stirred.

Q: Is there a message in that phrase?

SC: Aye laddie, do not gently stir your way out of a jam, shake that booty!

Q: Thank you, sir!

SC: Be well!