

Rearrangement Redux

06 April 2023

What is being re-arranged that it would, could or should be done again [re – rearranged? 🤔] or just reduced rearrangement? Less paint but still a paint job?

In Latin, **redux** comes from the verb **reducere**, to lead back and also brought back or bringing back.

Q: *Esteemed Committee, it is seductively easy (choose **perc** or **bel**) to ____-eive that our times are unique, just as all humans probably have.*

C: No. Inside humanly accepted history commonly believed complete, most people have not had opportunity to compare. Human recordings of history are spotty, an adjective we offer as a compliment. Teaching of history is a recent development. Knowledge of previous events might lead to notions of uniqueness.

Q: *Why would we think our times are unique?*

C: Self-centered ignorance.

Q: *It's possible to be ignorant but not self-centered or selfish.*

C: True; equally possible can a human be self-centered and well informed.

Q: *Have we humans designed in the changes now occurring to Earth and to human societies, as part of our life plans? Or are the changes occurring without our input; we're mere passengers?*

C: Both. It is a challenge to accept authority; responsibility is permanently attached. Humans aboard Earth now and into the immediate future will largely feel like passengers aboard a speeding train, by intent.

Had enough humans wished to avoid *The Shift* before incarnation, this would have been the course, as has been chosen by many souls. The ballooning population of Earth is testament to collective desire to ride through the storm.

Q: *Are souls avoiding incarnation into other civilizations, also passing through events similar to what is now beginning on Earth?*

C: Yes, however this is not a meaningful trend. Many souls avoid incarnation, yet admire it. We say, most souls avoid incarnation. Earth is also not a favored destination yet admired also. We speak of all souls; among the minority who choose to incarnate, Earth is a high demand destination.

Q: *What is more appealing or attractive about other civilizations for incarnation?*

C: Less happenstance, fortuity, randomness or chaos. The qualities of human societies which attract the souls here now and into the Earth's future.

Q: *The rearrangement of what we now have will provide humans with what?*

C: Opportunity. Humans can attempt to re-create, re-build, re-store and re-peat but

this effort will be futile. Change is constant; what most humans shall do, is strike off in a new direction. The minority which pursues a continuation of the past will be largely ignored and suffer for it.

Q: Okay, please explain what rearrangements we are likely to see.

C: Not likely, guaranteed to see. What is uncertain is the degree to which the rearrangements are enacted.

The first thing humans shall change will be academic education. The imposition of this process in many human societies has brought improvement but also downfalls.

Education will become voluntary, far less institutionalized, less costly and more effective. Along with knowledge of language, writing, mathematics, science, history and other academic subjects also comes compliance, obedience, submission and rebellion.

Minimum standards of performance, excessive social groupings, annual expectations and inability to change academic environments will fade away rather quickly.

Q: What else are we going to change?

C: Human perceptions of wealth and money. Humans largely focus on currency and assets, receipts of cash, savings and possessions. Money was always easy to create, now more than ever. Difficult to deliver are the goods and services, what humans really want.

Q: Governments?

C: Humans will reduce these significantly, occurring as part of the rearrangement of wealth and money. Governments are now mostly cash diversion schemes; a minority of the activities such entity now undertakes involve collective decisions.

Q: I cannot say because I don't seek out the information, but do most regions, territories and nations around Earth also have the same discussions about division, secession and even civil war, as pop up fairly regularly in the USA's press?

C: No, not nearly as often or intensely. These discussions are intentional, intended to further harden widely divergent positions already held. The USA receives attention and in the information age, serves the purpose of setting the tone across many human societies.

Observer nations have relatively less discussion about leaning one way or the other, because the powers who would extract advantage from such division have already achieved it.

Q: It would be popular for us humans to see powerful interests deposed but, would we really want the responsibility if we got it?

C: No, however that perspective assumes a continuation. No continuation of the current systems will be possible when the hidden controlling powers [HCPs] and their hired hands –*the people seen as being in charge, but who are not*– are no longer able to exercise their will.

Q: At what point did the HCPs decide to hide?

C: When Yeshua was assassinated for ruffling feathers and threatening power.

Q: Have human societies really always had this feature? A concealed all-powerful group pulling invisible strings to great personal advantage?

C: No, this effect is limited to the current iteration of human development. Atlantis for example and Lemuria before it, did not develop the same way.

Q: Do the HCPs have true spiritual knowledge, an understanding of the human soul, alien extraterrestrials, the power of creation in the human mind and of assorted, related things?

C: Yes, very much so.

Q: Why the control and manipulation then?

C: Addiction.

Q: I would think, if I knew any of them, that HCPs would also understand the futility of their position if spiritual knowledge were theirs.

C: Human societies have many examples of cigarette smoking doctors, criminal police and irregular regulators.

Q: The latest dust-up with Donald Trump seems almost like something he himself cooked up to generate more publicity, but he's just not that good. Do Trump's political adversaries not see the voter fatigue this latest stupidity is making worse?

C: Remember, the efforts are always aimed at the small, shrinking single digit percentage of undecided votes. The voter fatigue you see occurs only to people already decided.

Q: Democrats will just fix the election, like last time.

C: Not as easily. The hope HCPs have was to remove Trump after one term, counting on short voter memories and political discreditation. This is not working.

Q: Trump is more resilient than expected?

C: Yes.

Q: With faith in government reducing, what can people do now to make life more pleasant, predictable and palatable?

C: Reduce involvement as much as possible. Employees with a good portion of a career or working years remaining, should leave government work. Do not depend on government services, programs, benefits or performance any more than necessary.

Doing so will seem imprudent at the moment, inviting criticism in many cases.

Q: I recently discovered I have sigma personality traits and an unusual eye color. Why have I discovered this at my young age, and not earlier?

C: Performing your role has been more important to you than outer appearance, which has always been your view. You are unusual to strange, even weird to some people

who encounter you, as part of their life plans.

Q: This website hobby, which I obviously enjoy, is a sign of that?

C: Yes.

Q: Do the hidden controlling powers see a threat in the information available here?

C: Yes, one which would erase their position if it caught on, but it shall not.

Q: Why not? [Which is, folks, what I hope for, by the way....]

C: Humans learn early in life to dislike change and responsibility. Knowing something creates a duty to use or share the knowledge. Most humans want things shared with them, which provides opportunity for advantages without discomfort.

Q: But discomfort is one of the reasons we've incarnated, right?

C: Yes, which is why avoidance of it benefits the soul so much when discomfort lands in one's lap.

Q: What is preferable, mental or physical discomfort?

C: There is little difference and none for beneficial effects.

Q: How much will rearrangements of human societies affect the different generations now discussed constantly in the media, Baby Boomers, Gen X, Gen Z and Millennials?

C: These discussions are another manifestation of political, racial and social divisions emphasized in order to create separations. Human societies have always had people of different ages, where younger people more easily adopt novelties and developments.

These labels are used much as racist, winger and extremist are glued all over the media, but in practice mean little and often nothing.

Q: Setting aside policy, results, pragmatism and leadership to focus solely on manner, demeanor, tone and style, what about the USA's 45th President sets off so many people? Why is he so loathed?

C: With the advancement of feminism, human societies have become gynocentric. Equivalence of opportunity is ideal however equivalence of talent cannot exist. Equivalence of preference also cannot exist with personality traits women prefer. Trump does not meet these preferences.

Q: How does the debate about transgenderism fit in with gynocentric society?

C: Human females place feelings and emotion atop the list of personal preference; males prefer physical surroundings, supplies and food supply to be a priority. Men's priorities obviously benefit all humans, but are largely taken for granted, allowing one to focus on feelings, mood, emotion and social interaction.

Feeling displaced by one's gender is, as we have explained here before, soul knowledge. It is a memory humans would call it, if human Earth time applied, of incarnation as the other gender.

It is being exploited to the disadvantage of human society.

Q: *Are some people who pursue hormones, drugs, surgery and outward changes to hair, clothes doing it as part of their life plan?*

C: Yes, but few. Most of this is imitation.

Q: *Is it a good trend overall?*

C: This cannot be called a trend, because so few humans are doing it. In the case of nearly every imitator, it is destructive. Mutilating one's body is not beneficial. Decoration is another matter and often not as negative and sometimes positive.

The trend is to discuss it, for purposes of division. S/he who criticizes it serves up an opportunity for such person to be labeled as possessed by phobia, thus defective or suffering from a disorder.

This is ridiculous, it is not a disorder to dislike something. The true purpose of the discussion is to create fear, thus obedience. To establish then reinforce, as needed, unwillingness to offer a divergent opinion.

Q: *Such as the Second Amendment debate?*

C: Also. Yes.

Q: *Thank you, Esteemed Committee. I have gone on too long; time to curtail this soiree.*

C: Do return soon, one and all.