

QAnon

08 August 2020

I hazily recall a few times readers have mentioned **Q** and **QAnon** in comments here (here = this website). I confess I've never paid much attention to "Q" beyond the occasional mention in general news. I have a possibly incorrect impression the name comes or is borrowed from, and/or is inspired by a character on *Star Trek: The Next Generation* which aired from 1987-1994. The first episode >33 years ago was *Encounter At Far Point* in which character "Q" first appears on the bridge of the USS Enterprise wearing the informal dress uniform of a US Marine Corps Captain. Classic mob movie *The Godfather* of the early 70s introduces character Michael Corleone (Al Pacino) at his sister's wedding at the end of World War II wearing the same uniform and rank, which my son does also, thus my slightly elevated awareness compared to the average Jane & Joe.

This is a strange aspect of Star Trek I've never seen explained. Current year 2020 nation states of Earth are not specifically mentioned in any Star Trek series, Earth is a member of the United Federation of Planets beginning with Captain Kirk in the original series and the federation's space agency force is Star Fleet. Despite this, the main vessel is the USS Enterprise, USS = United States Ship. How about RMS (Royal Mail Ship) or HMS (Her/His Majesty's Ship? Myopic ethnocentricity, a game we humans love.

So....what is **QAnon**? The popular understanding is a conspiracy theory about plot(s) by a "Deep State" against the current President of the USA, the president's voters & supporters; the **Q** slogan is: *Where We Go One, We Go All*. Information from "Q" is anonymous. Speculation about the identity/ies of the responsible folks is constant. The large following which has formed apparently has annoyed somebody a LOT. The BBC reported yesterday that a Facebook group of more than 200,000 members was recently deleted for policy & rule violations. **QAnon** first appeared in 2016 during the presidential campaign of Donald Trump & Mike Pence vs Hillary Clinton & Tim Kaine.

When Facebook deletes a group that large where its material is deemed political and in violation of their rules, somebody analyzed the benefits of censorship versus the cost of backlash. Thus today's post.

Q: Esteemed Committee, have I accurately interpreted the information that "Q" first appearing in 2016 was in part inspired by Star Trek as I mentioned?

C: Yes. The purpose is to hint at the connection to the USA's Armed Forces. A good deal of the information provided comes partially or completely from anonymous military sources.

Q: Is this the only meaning intended?

C: The name was also chosen to suggest the verb "to question", but also to be understood as a noun.

Q: Anon to mean anonymous.

C: Obviously and of course.

Q: Is this inherently a military operation or counterintelligence effort?

C: No. The USA's Armed Forces are not involved with Q, yet many sympathizers are found among USA military personnel.

Q: *Is Q one person or a group?*

C: It is a group.

Q: *Does a panel, committee or review board control information released?*

C: No, in this regard the group is not traditional, members are free to release material.

Q: *Have law enforcement functions in the USA attempted to locate the originators of the information, and have they succeeded?*

C: Yes to both.

Q: *Do people with US government authority want to censor the material?*

C: Yes, human nature operates with government staff and employees also. Censorship, like physical violence, is a trait natural to many humans and animals. The relative absence of censorship is not a default value for most humans; this is overcome by telepathy. The perceived ability to conceal creates the desire for it, which quickly evolves into a need to control information. What is said and who shall hear it, or both.

Human communication technology today has developed to the point where distribution control is impractical; the efforts cost far more than benefit obtained. The solution is dilution; when risky information is uncorked, it is combated by a flood of countering, contradictory information.

Where censorship appears, the balance of benefit to cost is almost always carefully weighed.

Q: *Why don't the inside operatives, working for the HCPs, just eliminate Q?*

C: The cost of speculation is far too high were this done, this is the first reason. The better option is to ensure everyone also hears and sees the words conspiracy and theory, thus diffusing anything possibly damaging.

Q: *Is this an effective technique?*

C: Extremely. You have heard of politics, and thus understand implicitly.

Q: *What is the motivation of Q?*

C: The motivation of the communicators is to counter what they see as corrupt, illicit activity inside the central government of the USA.

Q: *Why now? Since government was invented, temptation of abuse has grown right along with it. Does anybody think motor vehicles are going to be used 99% safely?*

C: The perception by Q that detrimental, pernicious activity has overflowed the banks and boundaries of what is acceptable, ideal or even tolerable, is the reason why.

Q: *Who are / is Q ?*

C: Current and former USA government employees, staff, officials and also contractor and government service provider company staff.

Q: How can they extract the information offered?

C: Knowledge and access.

Q: Isn't that stealing?

C: This is a significant gray area. The information is not sold, thus no claim of unpaid sales or income taxes arises, and no issue of royalties can either come up.

Q: Don't the disclosers break rules to obtain the information?

C: If only one set of rules are examined, the answer is yes. If all sides of this information confidentiality question are examined, no. Many of you reading our words know of the rights the constitution of this country, USA, intends protected. No intrusion into personal affairs is permitted except case-by-case with documented reasons and independent approval.

We assure you, any person with a name and identity in the USA has readily available information which is regularly and commonly obtained in violation of the rules. The Q believe they are balancing the scales, holding the deep state operatives from further abuse.

Q: Is this true?

C: Yes.

Q: What intimidates the HCPs and their operatives?

C: Civil disobedience.

Q: Why do the Q conceal their identities?

C: Immediately adversaries would issue false information to discredit them, convincing information unable to be detected as faked, created and untrue. The Q do not fear the fake information; they know bona fide identities revealed would fuel the falsehoods.

Q: Is a solution to this possible?

C: We are curious as to your question; what requires solving? Elected officials, hired staff who either obey improper directives from the elected officials or staff who operate below & beneath the view from thus above the control of elected officials, can be fixed by electing a slate of candidates who have no ties to political parties. Voters are not going to do this.

Q: We get the government we deserve?

C: You get what you elect. Do you deserve what you receive from the people chosen?

Q: How do we eliminate HCPs?

C: Demand transparent dealing from elected officials. Almost none currently in office would comply, so this is not a practical thing, attractive it might be.

Q: How does Q hide itself effectively enough to remain outside the reach of the HCPs?

C: They do not hide, currently they have continuously dared their adversaries to attempt to exercise constraints. They have successfully delivered the message to adversaries and other would-be information oppressors, that doing so would trigger release of information the HCPs cannot afford be made public. A poison pill of sorts.

Q: What information do they have?

C: Knowledge that they have convinced their adversary, with which Q has convinced the HCPs and Deep State, that the poison pill to be swallowed by the HCPs would be nearly fatal.

Q: Doesn't this put Q in danger?

C: Yes, just as the opposite view and approach both do, to the HCP and Deep Staters.

Q: How does Q shield itself from physical intrusions, restrictions and compromise of their messaging network?

C: Redundance; if Q is restricted materially their intertwined position connects to and would drag down so many desirable, popular and politically advantageous to HCP sources and platforms for information, that it would be near ideological suicide.

Q: Do the HCPs and the Deep State know all of this?

C: Of course.

Q: I anticipate interesting questions on this topic, y'all ready?

C: We welcome inquiry and discussion, it is a compliment we might stimulate this.