

## New Year & World Order

The calendar has flipped from a "9" year to a "1", making it a good time to address something else called "New" which is not. The "*New* World Order".

Before asking, a reader HILARIOUSLY attempted to apologize for saying something less than complimentary about the incoming US president, and I never laughed out loud at a reader question or comment until that one! As if ANYTHING said about any politician could offend ME?

Besides the unintended stab at humor, said reader asked seriously about the New World Order, offering a description and questions.

Reader description: "New World Order is a conspiracy on the Internet dating back to the 1990's, when George H.W. Bush was President [1989-1993] and one of his speeches included the words "New World Order". In short, its goal is to basically take over the world with the 1% in power, and is behind all the major world events in history."

Before we turn to the specific questions, The Committee speaks about this generally:

C: Not a conspiracy although easy it can become to see the naming of the beast as being one. It is but a trend in communication and commerce now more easily crossing the globe, providing opportunity for both development of trade and gain some observers and participants perceive to be unfair, or corrupt. Yes, a new order comes to Earth, however it is not generated by a small, powerful group despite the ease with which it can be seen this way. A new way dawns for humanity, and it is by, for and of all humanity. Even subgroups within, large and small.

Reader Questions:

*RQ1: Is the New World Order merely a conspiracy, or is it real, true, and authentic, and intertwined with our U.S government?*

C: The question assumes one or the other and we say, it is neither of these completely. Earth trade and commerce, in both services and goods, have sharply modified. This has reshaped and reformed the patterns, providing opportunity. Not all participants see even gains when such opportunities are advanced, as occurs with any new development. There are gains and losses; the perceived losers are keen to see motives and tactics employed to the detriment of their established interests or their lost opportunities. The gainers, some of them, are given to employing questionable techniques, in circumstances where such methods are believed to be the sole way to gaining advantage. This is what is seen as the new order. This small slice or segment of the change is seen as insidious and harmful to the collective interest. To this we ask, how must collective interest be understood? General agreement collapses when a specific interest within sees its expectations unmet, as the collective benefit is advanced.

*RQ2: Was it responsible for the death of the 35th President John F. Kennedy?*

C: No. There is no global conspiracy or pervasive group responsible for this assassination. The parties involved were local to the USA and specific interests within.

*RQ3: Does it have anything to do with the Federal Reserve Bank? Is the Federal Reserve Bank completely beholden to the people, or the Powers That Be (special interest groups behind politicians).*

C: There are overlapping contacts, and there are large elements of both things you mention, taken into consideration in the operation of this entity. Your question assumes that "the people" and "the powers that be" are separate and distinct. We say, the people created the authority and placed the officials in position. These are not separate and distinct, much as the appearance is otherwise. The people are the powers and vice versa.

*RQ4: Are people such as the Koch Brothers and George Soros, as well as the Rothschilds + Rockefellers (13 Bloodlines) behind the New World Order?*

C: You assume there is such a movement, entity and organization. There are overlapping elements of these interests which taken to a view, can appear to be a newer order. They are not.

*RQ5: Is the Illuminati connected to the New World Order?*

C: No. You assume both exist, through your question.

*RQ6: Do the Vietnam War, the Cold War, and the War on Terror have anything to do with the New World Order?*

C: No.

*RQ7: What are the specifics of the definition of the Military Industrial Complex, as coined by President Eisenhower in the 1950's?*

C: Basic, as have often been described. Threats, weapons for deterrence, gain from their manufacture & supply, creating an incentive to maintain appearance of a threat.

*RQ8: Does the Illuminati control the music industry in the U.S? (Ok, not related to New World Order, got a little carried away but I'm dying to know the answer to this question, haha!)*

C: No. The production, advertising and sale of art is controlled by the buyers. There are attempts made to influence the markets for gain, much as occur with any market and product. These efforts do not amount to a centralized control of a group such as you suggest exists and operates, as the "Illuminati".

*RQ9: Is the New World Order connected to the 2 World Wars?*

C: No.

*RQ10: How long has the New World Order been planned/been in effect?*

C: There are components of commercial interests which have pursued gains for approximately seven decades, which gave rise to this idea. More vigorously, for the most recent three to four decades.

The Committee concludes:

C: See not a controlled pervasive group set upon commercial domination but rather a trend within which there are elements seeking advantage. It is far too seductive, and easy, to see

domination of the larger by the smaller. This has been nearly a permanent feature of humanity on Earth, as the existence of your physical beings owes to this process. Humans were created by visitors who organized and directed the activities and sought to provide in return for the gains the creating visitors sought. The ability of some humans to suggest ideas, to demonstrate and lead has naturally led to the emergence of leader, thus follower. To ascribe controlling powers over small, specific interests favors a view that mankind subjects itself to control and manipulation by a very small minority, over the much greater majority. There is no doubt certain humans among you prefer this, and seek to use the image for advantage. It is not all powerful, all controlling with ability to assassinate leaders, control art, dominate international conflict, military operations and large segments of popular culture.

New forces, both human created and from waves of energy washing over Earth and the entire galaxy, are in play. The existence of the notion there is a newly ordered world is entirely human created and always human controlled. Dislike of certain perceived aspects is simple, if not easy, to address. Awareness achieves it, an aspect of mankind we have hoped to give through the many years of channelings we have conducted in this medium.

Good wishes we bid you all. Do return when you can."