

# Free Speech Part 2

27 January 2021

*Q: Often y'all have told us, no barriers exist in Heaven, between our souls. The preface story in yesterday's post on this topic, is that a reflection of Heaven and our unincarnated, disincarnated or non-incarnated souls?*

C: Your soul is not incarnation dependent, your human perspective is. The story is not a reflection, it is an expression of what naturally happens.

*Q: Why do humans engage in small talk, ask questions the answers to which we really never want and in general, why do we conceal our feelings?*

C: Fear.

*Q: Did the recent assassination of Parler, the messaging application, occur for the purpose of removing the material, discussion and opinions of its customers?*

C: No, this was done to intimidate.

*Q: In Heaven, can we close off and prevent observation of a portion of our thoughts?*

C: Either a portion or the entirety. Consider the human practice of reading a good book, where you do not wish to be disturbed. For this reason it is done.

Telepathy means awareness of observation; when your thoughts are heard, you hear return thoughts also.

*Q: How about observation of our thoughts where we do not notice that?*

C: This is the default mode of your mind. It is what occurs nearly always.

*Q: When we encounter a soul whose thoughts are restricted from view or engagement, how do we react?*

C: With respect and deference. You do not wish to be disturbed when you choose this, thus you do not disturb others who prefer being quiet.

*Q: Does it cause concern to see someone with a "privacy screen" around their aura?*

C: No. Such reaction is learned, based in fear or concern, mistrust. This is neither taught nor learned in Heaven.

*Q: Were humans created to be primarily telepathic or audio communicators?*

C: Telepathic.

*Q: Why have we become removed from telepathy, for the most part?*

C: Choice, caused by fear.

*Q: We did not have to choose voice communication as primary?*

C: No, not at all.

*Q: Please explain the fear part of the process, how did this lead to emphasis on voice communication?*

C: Your physical body involves physical force, and the voice is part of this. The mind can be all powerful physically, or seemingly without physical effect. As physical effects and ability to manage the physical effects of Earth surface life became more and more important, for example to hunt or carry food, this naturally led to an equivalent emphasis on the voice. Visual observation of the human reaction to a loud voice is one example.

*Q: The telepathy concept really rubs many people the wrong way.*

C: Give respect to choice, in order to receive respect for your choice. You prefer chicken, she prefers fish. You want tea, he likes coffee.

*Q: Would mankind generally find we'd be happier if telepathy were more prevalent and accepted?*

C: Yes, but because it would mean barriers, walls, obstacles and impediments to honesty would be lowered. Telepathy will help significantly with this, however humans may easily use voice communication and hearing, to achieve better communication.

*Q: We often hear how we should listen more.*

C: We disagree, strongly. You will listen when what is said is interesting, engaging and relevant. If you speak when others say you should listen, this is the result of hierarchy and power, of the self-important, self-appointed leader attempting to exercise authority not well earned. The confident speaker will provide for this exchange, will accept others speaking and will not mount the false tower of power, in a vain attempt to instruct all the subjects commands and order, that they should listen more to be more compliant, docile and obedient.

*Q: The USA has a new figurehead leader I've mentally nicknamed President Unity. The speech given seemed to be a lollipop after many, endlessly saying the nation needs to come together. It sounds as empty as a rusty can. What does this really mean?*

C: It means they are daring you to disagree, and for the many who would not, it creates obedience of thought expressed. Overall, it is intended to serve as a false image, a nice wrapper to hide what is underneath. The true meaning is, discard your idea and adopt mine or at least fake agreement and do not dare step out of line.

*Q: I have always though that unity of ideas is backwards.*

C: It is, humans have made it fashionable to say things should be diverse. This already exists, it is the natural state of the human soul thus human life & existence. The notion that physical diversity is good but homogeneous thoughts should prevail, is contradictory and often highly hypocritical.

*Q: Why doesn't this happen in Heaven?*

C: You can often see the thoughts and ideas of another, and you can ask. Inquiries are a compliment, always. Because the soul asking never learns to fear reaction to an inquiry, that soul asked learns to ask openly also.

*Q: This sounds a lot like the example of last post, the opening story.*

C: Yes. That story is much more like Heaven.

*Q: A reader made an interesting observation that the protagonist of the story seemed to act somewhat like a person with Asperger's.*

C: Caution you we all will, on the use of labels. Nothing is amiss with the investigation in order to understand, even organize personality and human behavior, however far too easily do humans label with a view to conditioning both the labeled souls and others surrounding, to think, act and conduct oneself according to expectations.

A person who exhibits traits so labeled, has chosen to be less complicated, less emotionally complex thus less confusing and less dishonest, less unpredictable and to be far less judgmentally critical of others. These characteristics are seen as a syndrome or disorder, which they most certainly are not.

*Q: To exhibit the traits associated with Asperger's means such person is more spiritually honest, faithful and aligned?*

C: Yes, to a great degree. It would generally benefit others to observe closely such openness.

*Q: Don't we humans incarnate to experience the complications social fear, anxiety and worry?*

C: Yes. You also incarnate to provide yourself the opportunity to be aware of why this is happening, why you designed it into your life and how to voluntarily resolve it through acceptance of yourself and others. These steps are optional, not required, and whatever course of experience a human gets, is of immense value to the soul.

*Q: Why are we seeing such obvious efforts to restrict communication and opinion cross the world today?*

C: The restrictors are subordinate to the HCPs, they are following instructions with which most of the restrictors agree, the restrictors know the new administration in Washington DC will do nearly nothing to defend free speech. To the contrary, the new administration likes to squash dissent.

*Q: What about the previous one, especially President Trump?*

C: This leader engaged criticism, did not avoid it. Doing so gave power, authority and prestige to the critics, and this is free speech. Please always remember, the concept is not designed to help popular ideas, which do not require assistance. The notion of free speech protections exist to safeguard nasty, unpopular and even vile ideas.

As occurs nearly instantly with your souls, would occur quickly also with humans where free exchange of ideas occurs. Equilibrium thus acceptance for all is reached.

*Q: What do the speech restrictors want, what's the end game?*

C: Have pity, mercy and compassion upon them, for they realize not what they do. They suffer from a disorder, disease and mental condition, of which they are unaware. The physical expression is an addiction to exercise of power. They are unable to disengage from the nearly uncontrollable urge to manipulate other people.

The most obvious sign of this, is the reaction to the accusation. For the many of you reading this, consider you are blamed for high tea prices in China. You would most likely laugh. You do not manipulate the masses, or seek to control them.

S/he who suffers from this syndrome and malady, reacts emotionally and defensively to such truth revealed, their addiction exposed.

*Q: How do humans resolve the issue?*

C: Learn to seek disagreement. When you encounter disagreement with your ideas, examine it for evidence, background, justification, explanation and effects of adoption of the idea with which you disagree.

*Q: Can you supply an example?*

C: Abortion. The debate will rage endlessly over what is a fetus, a baby and when life begins. The answer is, it starts when the souls involved, principally the father, mother and incoming soul, together choose to create a human body. Choose to incarnate.

Because this answer is not accepted, the debate turns on the physical aspects humans find easier to comprehend, rather than the soul and the life essence of all living things.

A person who opposes the practice and seeks to prohibit the act, must understand limits to authority over control of other people. The other side of the matter must understand that throwing away a life opportunity is a life opportunity thrown away.

Choices and consequences come to both and all sides.

Free exchange of ideas, listening and speaking, and acceptance of choices one both likes and dislikes, lead most quickly to equilibrium.

Humans still attempt to force preferences, over acceptance of them.

Free speech is the resolution to conflicts force creates.

*Q: Esteemed Committee, thank you.*

C: Our honor to be asked. Be well and active, all of you.