

Free Speech

29 September 2021

A reader asked a few days ago this following question. I thought it would be better to have that question answered here, because the one leads to the other; so before we turn to the topic du jour:

Reader background & question: I happened to come across this link that shows a clip from the President of Croatia:

<https://eastvalleypost.com/president-of-croatia-we-will-not-be-vaccinated-anymore/>

I also observed over the weekend when I was attending an event with my child that most people also in attendance from my quick glance of the admin paperwork, since we were one of the last ones to arrive, that just about everyone showed paperwork for a negative test instead of vaccine paperwork.

So I have been wondering if the TC could comment that whether there isn't as many with the jab as really wanted by government officials and HCPs, so the media is being used against us to divide us into believing more really are than the true amount? It feels as though we are dividing ourselves instead of just choosing not to fight over who is or is not getting the jab.

And would TC comment on when the first phase of disasters would occur in The Shift that shifts the focus away from Covid? There are some talks about the fuel shortages, which to me feels like the start of This Shift. Because this is affecting access to food and other issues. This is unfolding in the UK and those [people] I know and hear from could [not] care less than about Covid, but yet they keep also trying to say it's worse now than ever the pandemic infections and numbers.

C: The objective is financial for the vaccine producers, which in theory is fine. A producer of any product would like to sell more and keep the profits. This beneficial aspect, good because it makes & keeps products and services available, is being used by more insidious powers to force compliance.

Allow us a comparison; no military commander will recommend engagement or order it, without casualty analysis, because troops removed from action can very quickly turn the tide of armed conflict. The apparent winner can lose, suddenly. It is bad, bad imagery to discuss this publicly but is an essential part of combat planning.

Likewise, efforts to compel vaccine use must calculate resistance, and have. The efforts now seen are being used to reduce resistance and compel. To force you. Lying is always a part of these, a percentage of people hearing them, will believe.

To ask for our comments on disasters, we say they have already started. Because what shall happen are events which have already occurred, the emergence of a pattern reported & recognized will lag behind until things become too obvious to remain unacknowledged or even unrecognized.

The rates of transmission worldwide have dropped sharply. What is being said widely in many media and news articles is not accurate. The rate of negative side effects is higher than recognized, often attributed to the virus and variants. This is not to say transmission of the virus is not occurring, but the extent is less.

Often have we said, the urge even lust for obedience to orders has not diminished among the authorities, who will not easily relinquish their desire to compel obedient performance. Consider all authoritarian regimes in recent human history. At the moment, how often have you heard such officials express true regret upon issuing such commands? To the contrary, what is heard is a forceful tone aimed at the virus as the culprit, the enemy which must be conquered. This is not possible, microbes

will propagate. The intent to denounce and demonize the non-vaccinated is a tactic aimed at compliance. The goal is to slow the spread of the virus, not vaccinate. The genetic therapy is but a means or method but is pushed as the goal; how about discussions of natural immunity developed from exposure? This cannot be measured easily, only through tests which expose a person to the virus. Naturally developed has stopped the additional spread of the virus, but is not discussed.”

This eye-opening news popped up among the morning’s headlines, leaping forth from the usual copious bullshit (*i.e. Kim from the Clan-Who-Shall-Not-Be-Named on a beach in a swimsuit*).

Washington Post headline: ***YouTube Is Banning Prominent Anti-Vaccine Activists And Blocking All Anti-Vaccine Content***

My questions below, yours also please.

Q: Esteemed Committee, principles of free speech and press suggest this is improper.

C: Whose principles?

Q: Mine.

C: You have what ability to impose your ideas, principles or concepts? You possess the same others can impose upon you, which is zero.

Q: Behavior can be forced.

C: Which is counterproductive, in both the short and long term.

Q: I probably agree, but please explain.

C: All physical movement of any human and animal on Earth, and of almost all of your Alien ET friends and cousins, even some not your friends who are kept away, involve thoughts first. The electrical impulse from brain to muscle is generated by thought, using the brain.

Thoughts are your mind; messages arriving from elsewhere enter your mind in such way they resemble own thoughts. How many among you will remember thinking of doing something then deciding to not act? All of you and often. You can lie limp, no matter what is said to you. If you choose to move, it is always your choice, and it could well be a good decision. That does not make it someone else's choice.

Q: Sounds like you guys have never been hit with a taser.

C: This device stops movement, it does not and cannot create behavior its user wants.

Q: Switching lanes for just a moment away from communication, to soon switch back, are tasers good things?

C: These devices are much better than alternatives of force for subduction. They mimic what your Alien ET friends would use to deter and neutralize attacks against them.

Q: Alien tasers? Way cool...but that reinforces the stereotype.

C: No wires or electricity are used. The device uses magnetism. They are small, adjustable from low power neutralizers which do no harm up to killing one or dozens of humans simultaneously with almost no pain inflicted. Zero risk or harm from one comes to a human; where your Alien ET visitors believe a threat from humans or Earth animals could present, they would simply avoid it. Remember, telepathy plus no speech mean they do need to switch from mouth to mind, the mind is always attuned to other minds.

Q: Could a human sharpen telepathic ability by avoiding other humans and speech?

C: Yes, however only speech need be avoided. You will telepathically communicate with any human you are aware exists and is aware of you. Sight is the usual trigger for this. Avoiding all humans is a way to avoid speaking, but avoiding speech or listening to it will work also, but almost no human could or would do this. To say you sense somebody is nearby, means you have telepathically detected their presence. Almost all humans do this naturally.

More important to sharpen the telepathic ability all humans posses, is to actively use it. This is called practice, and is easily done when belief that telepathy exists and can be developed, can be improved and be used.

Q: Thank you, Madam and Messrs Le Comité, let's return to speech and freedom. That news article reads like a preface to round-ups and confinement.

C: Because it is.

Q: The Aussies are doing it but...coming soon to a theater near you? Near me?

C: This depends on your region and place within, and also future decisions.

Q: Whose decisions?

C: The rounder uppers, and the would-be captives.

Q: Are round-ups going to result in violence?

C: Not where the would-be captives can resist, such capability serving as a deterrent which will prevent it.

Q: What about Google and its YouTube platform banning vaccine skeptics?

C: They are not a public entity and can do this. They do it at their own peril but do not see this.

Q: Are the hands of the HCPs involved?

C: These hands are throwing the switches, they are more than simply involved.

Q: Banning critical-of-vaccines material seems as if it will simply provoke more cooemntary elsewhere. They have to know this.

C: The HCPs also know a certain percentage of viewers will be influenced in the direction they prefer, just as we used in our example of combat casualty projections or estimates. A certain percentage of viewers will not question the ban, because they did not believe the skeptics. The reinforcement effect will occur just as the incentive for dissent offered elsewhere.

Q: Free speech seems imperiled.

C: It is, but always has been. This is nature of humanity, to censor, denounce and dismiss. In this case, the well known technique is to dilute; the elimination of YouTube information is not going to eliminate disagreement; it will simply allow a greater effect of diluting, confliciting information. The idea is to create confusion and uncertainty. This opens the door for the offer of certainty, which many people will naturally want when confusion and uncertainty abound.

Q: We've had our own recent example here on this site. The desire to create disagreement. I will of course be blamed for conducting my own censorship.

C: No, this is not valid. You do not avoid questions or refuse answers. That is far different. No authority with true ability to impose will then allow questions and the possibility of disagreement. You will note the stark differences between the 45th and 46th US presidents. The former readiy took questions, friendly or even hostile and met them head-on. Now avoidance is the theme. This above all says more than questions or answers can ever.

Q: The guarantees of free speech obviously do not mean absence of consequences.

C: Obviously, but under the force of law? This is unspeakable, to use a human pun. Because force of law is too obvious, force of loss is the new method.

Q: Are we really being attempted subjugated?

C: Yes. The HCPs are aware of what is coming and how it represents a diminution of authority, power and control. They act in ways to maintain as much as possible for as long as possible, because they know no other ways. For all their influence and sheer power, it exists only because humans submit. Resist submission and power evaporates nearly instantly. The HCPs as we and you have named them, for all their effect, influence power and control ability, are prisoners of their habits such that they cannot break free. They have made themselves captive to their own downfall. It is lamentable and we extend to them much sorrow, compassion and hopes they can rehabilitate themselves, when forced to face this aspect of themselves. We love them just as we love you.

Q: I am not confident humans can agree across the board in ways that truly knock down such power and control.

C: Thus you see the purpose and effect of The Shift.

Q: Thank you, Esteemed Committee.

C: Our honor to be asked; be well one and all.