

# Free Speech

26 January 2021

*Q: Esteemed Committee, how do a brutally open personality, channeled telepathic information and free speech overlap? I can see a confused reader.*

C: These are examples of the same thing, they not only overlap, but they also arise from the same source. Each is an expression of the same thing.

*Q: OK, let's unpack the brutally honest 30 something year young guy. Wasn't he effectively trained to behave as a human, the way our souls naturally do it?*

C: Yes and no; this man followed a pattern which resembles your mind and your soul in Heaven, with a massive difference: all humans can conceal and disclose, to a great degree. In Heaven you do not have this ability; your mind is open as are all minds around you. You see into other souls just as they see what is inside you.

*Q: Fascists are arriving on scene in the USA wearing the anti-fascism costume, no surprise there. History supplies many examples. My question is, why isn't this detected by more people?*

C: It is not recognized by a majority, and advantage is taken from the effect by a minority.

*Q: How does this minority who do recognize it, get away with it?*

C: Unawareness.

*Q: No shortage of opposition exists.*

C: For the fascist to succeed, the opposition must be diminished. It must receive much attention; thus you are all aware of the opposition. The perceived winners have their target, scapegoat and loser, upon which they can stand victorious.

*Q: All the claptrap streaming through the media, I suppose. Question is, why does one point-of-view not see the risk to itself? Why do restrictors not see the coming restrictions upon them?*

C: Training and education.

*Q: Why does a certain point-of-view or opinion so easily dismiss another?*

C: It is far easier and more effective. What occurs now in the USA is cancellation, erasure of the contrarian idea or notion, successfully done because sufficient support exists for this. A critical mass proportion or share of the world's population has been successfully re-oriented away from analysis and debate of ideas. Most humans have not reached the level of free interchange between opposing ideas; it has been eroded away where the more advanced stages of it were recently achieved.

*Q: I've heard a few headlines and quick quotes from people prominent enough to get their face on camera, that certain political views need to be de-programmed in the USA. This seems to suggest that the preferred point-of-view is in fact programmed. Why is this happening?*

C: Short term preference over longer term effects. The pleasure of today obscures the pain or tomorrow. Suggesting pain might ensue, is denounced.

*Q: The folks in charge of this have to know what's really going on.*

C: Of course, it is occurring by design.

*Q: How is it designed, then put into action?*

C: The HCPs, as you have named them, care little and often not at all, what are the issue or perspective. The objective is to secure then exercise power.

Creating an enemy group within, Hitler style as was done with Judaism, or creating a victim group to then allow blame assignment, is another. The current argument in the USA over racism is this process.

These methods turn on human emotion. Constant visual and aural reminders, beginning early in a person's education, create a foundation which crowds & drowns out other ideas.

Emphasis of the ideas used to generate emotion, action and reaction in the preferred direction can be easily bought. It is not difficult to promise wealth, advantage, status and position as long as the ability to deliver exists.

The vast majority of the actors now promoting free speech restrictions do not perceive the manipulation against them. They have been effectively indoctrinated, compensated for their progress and continue to be rewarded for compliance with the artificial orthodoxy they see not as being such.

*Q: No shortage of opposition exists.*

C: The opposition is encouraged by the HCPs who seek control, because it helps keep the mask, the camouflage and the cloak, in place.

You recall the riot which recently occurred at the capitol building of the USA.

We will confirm what many of you suspect, now that responsible participants are being identified and handled. We need not specify precisely which person did which exact thing; the assault was sparked intentionally. The same forces who influence to the point of control the riots occurring in the USA recently also led the effort in this case and place.

The goal is to create chaos, thus control. The intended effect was to give political fuel to accuse the president, of acts he did not commit. No crime called incitement exists in the USA, the proper name would be criminal conspiracy or treason. Did recruitment of participants occur at the president's direction? Did training or organization take place, once again at the president's direction? Did equipment, materials or services used the day of the building's invasion come from the US president or his agents? Did the accused former president have some ability to instruct or command anyone to act out the events of that day, seen across the world?

The answer to these questions is no, not in any way.

The purpose of this exercise is threefold:

1. Distract the population
2. Infringe free speech
3. Restrain the accused from becoming a candidate again.

The political forces who now believe they hold power, hold not nearly the authority the image they offer suggests.

Your greatest concern, fellow souls and humans who inhabit Earth, is the second of these intended effects.

If criminal acts follow your utterance of ideas, you will be punished for saying what you have.

*Q: What was the distraction?*

C: Disturb thus prevent the offering of legislative disputes regarding the election. Many elected officials were intimidated, meekly backed away and allowed the political opposition to manufacture then direct fury far away from the source and cause.

*Q: To seal the manipulation of the election?*

C: Yes.

*Q: This is not as serious as the second objective?*

C: Not nearly, punishing the expression of ideas is far more insidious, far more dangerous than theft of an election.

*Q: The opposition will shout and scream there's no evidence of this, it's a false claim.*

C: When the evidence is suppressed into silence, yes. No visible evidence equals no evidence, for humans.

*Q: I see a sad contradiction, disguised as racism but really much worse. It is a nearly absolute social taboo to use the "N" word, which could never be prohibited. First of all, if done this would draw attention and increase its use, if anything, but worse would be the regularity with which the word is used. Specific speakers are punished with nothing more than a poor public image, yet everyone else speaking or worse, writing this word, would face immediate loss of job, livelihood, social status, friends and suffer near complete ostracization. The good side of this is the absence of need, nobody needs to insult anyone with the word, so the contradiction is not discussed. The problem: is more of this planned?*

C: You may count on it. The effect is harmful, unofficial yet even more effective punishment of disliked speech.

As the effects of the epidemic have spread, so has the thought training. Words for imprisonment, laboratories and psychology are now being easily used for things not related to these institutions. This is thought training.

You are being taught to avoid public assembly, the promise being safety and health. In practice this restriction has almost zero benefit to your safety and health, yet compliance with rules which infringe your rights have been effectively imposed.

*Q: The quote from President Roosevelt (Franklin, not Theodore) seems to refer to Google, Facebook, Twitter and others. Was FDR channeling the future?*

C: He was aware of attempts to bring the US government under even more strict control of the HCPs, and was prophetic in that regard. President Kennedy discovered how far the control extended, eighteen years later.

*Q: What about us humans causes us to withhold our thoughts, as described well in the magazine article excerpt?*

C: Fear, the limits of a physical body and its needs.

*Q: Why did the child, then young man, go through this experience?*

C: A life plan to experiment and pass through the human effects of communicating material as it is freely exchanged telepathically, and also the opposite. To live the contrasts, benefits and detriments in one lifetime.

*Q: Were his parents a part of the life plan?*

C: Of course!

*Q: Why do we conceal so many things we think?*

C: Humans fear ridicule, criticism and exclusion. Humans reflexively follow strength, but also seek to impose their preferences upon it. When strength is used to lead away from preferences, it is attacked. Strength enables an attack.

*Q: Sounds like Trump and Biden, strong versus frail?*

C: As your HCPs see it, rigid versus weak and malleable. The latter was used to attack the former. The HCPs are far stronger, in the dark.

*Q: I have repeated here and many people have said many a time, silence is imposed out of fear. Trump is being throttled and kicked off communication media because his voice is risky. Why is this accepted by as many people who not only seem to tolerate it, they approve?*

C: Several factors; some are ignorant. Some are indoctrinated, some are emotional. Monsieur Robespierre had no intention of joining his victims, yet his neck was put in the precise same spot.

*Q: We're seeing leftists parading around as liberals doing the most anti-liberal thing possible, and no serious discussion seems to affect it. Would the rightists do the same? What do the HCPs want?*

C: To answer your questions in reverse, they want power and yes indeed, any political orthodoxy and social faith will engage in suppression of free speech to achieve and maintain authority.

*Q: What's the solution?*

C: The Shift now coming to a region near you.

*Q: Thank you, Esteemed Committee, ready for more questions on the topic?*

C: As always.