

Free Heaven Speech

05 March 2021

Mind reading among & between humans —the ability to understand another person's thoughts, no matter what s/he says or does not— would be wonderfully destructive to humanity, or destructively wonderful, from diverse points-of-view.

Most of us cannot do this, some of us can within limits, but for the most part, humans agree to set aside mind reading as a condition of being one.

What is complete transparence like, and what would this do to humans? I ask The Committee to illuminate my questions.

Q: Esteemed Committee, imagine if we could watch a political candidate, elected official or appointee of same and know if their words and thoughts contradicted each other.

C: No imagination is required; this is quite possible but is not achieved by way of Human N^o1 reading the mind of Human N^o 2. You have guides and so does s/he, and we fulfill the request within the limits set by all involved parties.

Q: Limits set by involved parties?

C: Not everything unphysically available can be divulged. Some things we are not given although we are told of their existence, other things we are not told exist, but do.

Q: How common is this?

C: Uncommon. Every human has thoughts s/he will prefer not revealed, but these are not a large portion of what a human discloses voluntarily. In other cases, the information should only be shared between humans in ways the humans can seek or offer physically, for the purposes of life plans, contracts and tasks all souls include.

Q: OK, let's return to the knowing if thoughts and words/deeds match up. Y'all said this is quite possible. How can that be done?

C: Ask us for our assistance, which shall be given in every case it is permitted, which is the vast majority.

Q: Do most humans actually do this?

C: No, because the majority do not believe the process, doubt the information provided or fear revelation of what they have found out will incur reprisal, loss, damage or trouble for & against them.

Q: Being called crazy must be on the list, right?

C: Yes, social penalties are a great method for human behavior control.

Q: If our human thoughts were transparent to anyone, suddenly and without warning, what would happen?

C: Your thoughts are already transparent to anyone, who is not incarnated and chooses to look. Among humans, interesting immediate effects would result, and soon humanity would cease to resemble what it has become and incarnation would lose the appeal it now offers.

Q: Other incarnated civilizations have mind and/or thought reading ability?

C: Yes. Most of the visitors to Earth have the ability to great or nearly absolute degree.

Q: Please expand on what would immediately develop among us humans, if we could know the thoughts of nearly any person.

C: Most humans would overlook how their own thoughts are suddenly and equally exposed. The amazement, fear, concern, curiosity, intrigue and more would overwhelm the senses of most humans. Each of you would be able to know others' opinions of you. Social taboos would melt away; you would know who to approach and the reply or reaction to expect, and the same would be known about you.

Quickly however you would discover that interest any one of you might have for and in other people, will be equally given to you. This would reveal impressions, ideas, opinions and reactions the many of you have learned to conceal. S/he who repulses you would know it, and you would learn quickly to whom you in turn seem repulsive.

Shortly afterwards, many of the closely held ideas and impressions would fade, melt and dissolve.

Q: Is this time coming for humans, a phase where we evolve to a telepathic level where secrets cannot be?

C: Yes.

Q: Human calendar habits, fixations and obsessions beg me to ask when.

C: When you all want this; the process can begin tomorrow. Inside of a generation humans would re-discover the ability natural and innate to your soul, even though your soul cannot be born.

Q: Innate to us humans, but dormant?

C: Yes, precisely.

Q: What happens to us as our souls, in Heaven?

C: Since no time, location restriction or physical limitations are possible, all souls may go, see, know or understand anything and manythings of interest. (*The Committee conjured up that word, by the way*) This opens up opportunity in ways humans cannot grasp, because the human experience of limitations cannot allow it.

The sensations of human limitation are so valuable, this is the reason you incarnate. To pass through the experience of physical limits and mental restrictions. To truly believe what limits you, is absolute.

Q: It isn't?

C: No limits apply to your soul. You select restraints, voluntarily. Nothing can be imposed upon you, just as you cannot impose anything upon anyone. Humans like the idea of one-way freedom, where a person may push away any constraint disliked but can still impose them. Their removal, in both directions, would be extremely disconcerting.

Q: Allow me a blunt, rough comment; anyone can force sex on anyone else, but so can it be forced on you.

C: Yes. You now see the upsetting aspect of this?

Q: What are the "heavenly" advantages of complete transparency?

C: Complete freedom.

Q: Disadvantages?

C: Complete freedom.

Q: Why is that a disadvantage?

C: It prevents many experiences limits create. When limits are overcome by incarnated beings, new limits are discovered, which is an extremely enriching process.

Q: It sounds as if the risk of becoming a bored person, one with everything, who wants for nothing, is high.

C: No, to the contrary. The bored, rich thus relatively idle human becomes that way because s/he focuses on the physical. This person could use wealth a method to develop good philanthropy and learn many things about the effects of help on the needy, which isn't a personal physical need. When physical possessions become unnecessary, the value of the mind is enhanced.

Q: If humans began to believe they could obtain information through the great collective of GAGs who accompany us, what would happen?

C: Humans would become vastly more generous, tranquil, calm and confident. As an example, we would suggest a devout religious person, who openly proclaims how letting Jesus, Buddha or Mohammed into her/his life had a wonderful effect.

What was done was allow access and presentation of information, wrapped up as the figurehead, leader or inspiration of these three example people. The person looks for a way to explain and use of a historically revered person makes the process self-believable and to observers also.

The essential, base ingredient is belief.

Q: Thank you Esteemed Committee, please stick around this topic for more reader questions, if you could.

C: Your wish is your command, one we happily obey. Be well one and all.