

Enfection Update

23 November 2020

Have we infected the election or elected an infection?

Q: Esteemed Committee, the latest headlines say a lawyer was kicked of the USA President's legal team because of her theory about widespread voter fraud. Was she and did widespread voter fraud occur?

C: Yes and yes. The dismissal from the legal team was part of the plan to launch the topic higher into the public mind. A large portion of the press and media in the USA is following the plan to oust the American president by running story after story about the re-election loss, his refusal to depart and the absence of evidence. In order to counter this trend, and to lift the media profile of the incumbent US president, this move was made. To re-emphasize the subject of vote fraud. To counterbalance the flow of no evidence.

The specific answer to the wider or narrower spread of voter fraud is found inside the dimensions, borders or parameters of spread you wish to apply. After this measurement and application, the definition of fraud also matters.

Across the USA, voter paper ballots were mailed without voters requesting them. By the standards and traditions of voting, this begins the problem. The authorities have it because the people subject to the rules give consent. The first step is the selection of representatives —who are just other people— who to work on behalf of their fellow citizens who supported them. This step cannot be compulsory, required or even initiated by the elected servant. S/he and they must wait for their masters, the voter citizens, to authorize them. For this reason compulsory voting is not recommended. Instead of recruiting voters to participate, a crucial and vital part of the process, the would-be managers who hope to be elected or sustained in office, can move directly to the seizure of a larger, winning slice of the pie. Its delivery and total size are guaranteed, because of requirements to vote. The moment a person who holds authority over you, to whom you have granted it voluntarily, begins telling you how to vote or commanding you to do it one way but not so much another, the spirit of process has corroded and the door is opened to problems.

The many states of the USA created a new, redundant voting process not provided for in the laws. The national constitution says this cannot be done. Because the national agreement does not allow the authority of a state, outside its body of elected representatives, to modify or create election laws and because the controversy involves a national election, votes delivered under methods and procedures modified outside legislatures and the national constitution, are not valid.

Q: I can foresee some really unhappy people, when they are told their mail-in ballot is not going to be counted.

C: The ballot might well be counted, even if not allowed by the rules in the national constitution. Many have been.

Q: Why does the USA's national constitution restrict new or the change of voting laws to the state's assembly, parliament or legislature?

C: To prevent the situation now unfolded and fermenting before the citizens of the USA. Inherent disagreement is built into the legislative process, you have named these disagreements political parties. They are the wings of dragonflies, without which it cannot fly or function. Treatment of any law by a legislative council, board or committee which represents the people who have formed the council, will shine the light of disagreement upon the matter. By disallowing the role of an elected executive such as a governor, the ability of such elected executive to use authority to influence the legislators is removed.

Q: I don't know how many of the fifty states sent mail-in ballots to voters, but it was a lot. This could mean a fair percentage of the votes are not valid.

C: Correct.

Q: Assume a snow white pure driven process, which I know does not exist, but assuming all and only valid votes under each state's laws are counted, who wins the election?

C: Mr. Trump, easily.

Q: Okay in the real world, where honest if improper mail-in ballots are counted, these being ballots sent by a properly registered voter, who wins the election?

C: Mr. Trump, easily.

Q: I have complained here that up-to-the-second partial vote counts broadcast across the media world, just milliseconds after a state board of elections receives a preliminary count from one or several voting precincts, is a bad idea. The halftime score means nothing in elections. This opened the door to problems, or at minimum gave the opportunity.

C: In the many states where the dispute currently exists, the reported vote counts broadcast across the media for all to see, were used to limit the improper or simply fake ballots to just a sufficient amount to achieve the preferred winner. This worked where the state was long since been described as a swing state, and polling results were created to reinforce this image. How can anyone know if a state is close to electing one or the other by a very slim difference? Past history is an indicator. Your American states of California and Texas are examples on the large side, South Dakota and Vermont on the smaller side. If surveys called polls were accurately indicative, why have an election?

Q: Many readers here are questioning the accuracy of your forecast, that Mr. Trump would be re-elected.

C: We did not say the election would be immune to skullduggery, or problems. We did not say it would NOT be disputed. Once the decision has been blessed with an inauguration, the ability to address and reverse it becomes nearly impossible. Mr. Obama was born in Kenya, disqualified for the USA's presidency. Mr. Trump questioned this, unearthed evidence to support it despite a massive, secret effort to bury that proof to obtain Mr. Obama's election to office. To this day Mr. Trump has been loathed by Mr. Obama because of that. Who seriously wanted Mr. Obama to be removed because of this disqualification, after some time in office?

The political forces behind Mr. Biden are hopeful and so far confident that evidence to overturn the election result will come so late in the game that he will not be removed.

More is to come.

Q: Okay, turning to the virus from China...

C: Pardon our interruption, but at this point it is proper to say, the virus blamed on China. The Chinese were used, played like fools and are now most angry at this.

Q: Lay this one out in more detail, puh-leeze!

C: Chinese character and culture value avoidance of public shame and incompetence highly, as do many societies around the world. The decision to stop development of the virus research in the USA but subsequently continued in Wuhan, was a calculated move. The intention was to develop a new virus which would spread easily but not incur a death rate much higher than influenza.

The Chinese opposition planned to and then did develop the virus to use as a tool to threaten the established Chinese communist party. What they did not know was, the people within their ranks, key members of the opposition, were operating on behalf of outside interests whose real goal and objective was to remove Donald Trump from office.

The larger game was to give a political advantage in the USA, taking advantage of the media. This advantage could be used in several ways, not just to win an election. In this instance fear has been developed and emphasized.

The virus was released in Europe in a very carefully timed move to make it appear as if it had migrated there from China. Italy was chosen because of recent influenza outbreaks and the effects on medical treatment. As symptoms first spread, the first reaction would be another round of influenza and thus little alarm would arise, however this changed quickly. This allowed the virus to be spread around Europe and then to the USA. It was already in play and moving to the USA.

The spread from China was expected, however not planned to be the first point of entry. This was understood as being good cover.

Q: How was this going to be used?

C: Just as it has been. It gave justification to print mail-in ballots in the USA.

Q: The virus has killed something like, two million people?

C: Stalinist Russia, Nazi Germany and the Khmer Rouge are other examples of humans willing to seize or maintain political power at the expense of many millions of human lives.

Q: The decision to shut down virus development in the USA but provide a grant to China to continue the work in the Wuhan lab, that was done to conceal the development?

C: No, to facilitate easy release. Once the virus began its spread in China, the Chinese Communist leaders discovered they and the political opposition had both been played. Spies had been planted, who worked for outside interests. The virus was easily smuggled out of China to be released in Europe.

Q: Why China and not another nation?

C: Reliability. The reaction the Chinese would offer was quite predictable, the image of the nation as commercial adversary to the USA and western nations in general, is easy to portray because of its truth. The principal reason for the desire of the political opposition to remove Mr. Trump was his success at leveling the international trade game onto a less tilted pitch. The Chinese have been running downhill for quite some time, scoring many more times than the larger markets for their goods have been able to achieve inside China.

Narrowing the trade imbalance with China threatens to crack and break many a rice bowl elsewhere. Rice is money is power.

Q: It sounds like the American people were played the worst.

C: Only enough among the Americans, in order to work. The elevation of personal niceness preferences above other priorities has been a calculated, steady process in the USA and has achieved great success in many areas. The majority of legitimate votes for Mr. Biden were really votes against Trump. The principal means of attack have been emotional. We suggest comparison with Mr. Obama. We know many of you might have liked Mr. Obama's manner, style and smile. We will say something objective about American character you might dislike. We take no responsibility for your decision to react the way you select. We offer facts you are welcome to dispute and with which you can disagree.

In any area of professional performance, where was Mr. Obama talented, unique and successful to a very high degree, before politics? His chosen education area, the legal process, gave him but a position as a lecturer. No assistant, adjunct or tenured professorship was offered to him. No impartial or objective measure of business, professional, academic or military performance would show Mr. Obama to be exceptionally talented. In all areas he is mediocre at best, except at selling his image. You who supported him liked him, because he said what you liked about the topics which concern you.

Mr. Trump is accomplished and talented beyond nearly any human, but you do not like him.

The forces who seek his removal harbor no such illusions of love, hate, dislike, disdain or support. They operate far above and beyond the petty emotions of the little people. You.

They seek power. Removal of Mr. Trump in itself is the exercise of power, no matter the effects to follow. Success would provide the hidden controlling powers a dopamine hit they cannot imagine, so delicious it would be for them.

Q: Thank you, Esteemed Committee.

C: As pleasure as always, do return all when you can.