

Doubting Aliens

26 April 2023

In the five years since *The Alien Handbook – A Guide To Extraterrestrials* was published, I've looked at the Amazon Books listing for it about two dozen times and not for more than a year now. For some reason the idea to look again popped into my head on Sunday. Guess what? The below review was posted that day. 😊 The Committee's handiwork at play, of course.

It is an excellent review; it illustrates why the general population aware of the topic of Alien ETs, wonders why we don't have more "disclosure" but is also simultaneously skeptical & curious.

Comments by & from me and also The Committee follow.

Reviewed in the United States on March 23, 2023

Verified Purchase

It seems that the author is somewhat informed on the subject and it feels like his personal opinion is coloring the "channeled" information. The first "red flag" to me is the way they speak through him; almost like some contrived version of Yoda. A person submitted an excellent question on page 30 (based on the question the individual already knew the answer but in my opinion was testing the author to see what the answers would be). I have been collecting a lot of information within the past few years and based on agreement from different sources have concluded that because of the agreement there may be some truth; however, I am still pursuing information to get a better picture of what is going on. It is not easy because there are many species with different agendas including our own involved. After reading through the book several chapters the "channeled" information does not fit in with the collective of real people who have personal contact and information on the subject; therefore it is my opinion that this is more fiction from the mind of Mr. De Haan than fact. I must reject this because it is illogical.

I am "...somewhat informed" ? I do not believe I am, if being informed means having studied, researched, analyzed and investigated the topic. I am well informed by the Alien Extraterrestrials themselves, but they are the source of the information, not me. They are informing the readers of the book *through me*. I am not the database of information. I am nothing more than the radio transceiver taking dictation.

"..like a contrived version of Yoda.." Yes, that is most correct. Becoming multi-lingual showed me in a way I would not have been able to understand otherwise, that **human thought and speech are mutually exclusive functions**. Learning to speak does not depend on thought; speech reflects thinking but does not and cannot create it. When thinking among several souls or people (same thing...) is vastly different, so shall be words which follow, in tone, sensation and delivery. Readers versed in literature from the USA might compare John Steinbeck to William Faulkner or Truman Capote. For readers of English books, John Milton compared to Charles Dickens does the trick. For the "hispanoparlantes" among us, compare Julio Cortázar to Carlos Ruíz Zafón.

"...it feels like his personal opinion is coloring the "channeled" information". Feelings are

typically the result of automatic preferences & habits all humans have when encountering incoming influences. These can be learned behaviors, ideas and preferences or instincts.

In this case, the reader has an idea what alien extraterrestrial visitors to Earth might or should sound like. The fact is, alien extraterrestrial visitors to Earth do not sound like anybody, any body, any human body or any person; they do not speak. Humans understand things when established information we already hold is reflected in what we see or encounter. If the information cannot be matched to a database already accepted, the information becomes rejectable. It is doubted.

The reader does not know my opinion about things the reader believes are, but feels it is. This is the clash of preference & habit with novelty.

"...I have been collecting a lot of information within the past few years" which means taking a survey to calculate a weighted average. Another way of saying the reader doubts what doesn't fit the database s/he already has, already likes and already believes.

"...the "channeled" information does not fit in with the collective of real people" I'm not a real person? Oh, the reader must mean people who claim physical encounters. If I claimed a physical encounter, immediately would come the requests and demands for witnesses, photographs and video. Half the demanders, upon receipt, would reject the witness statements as delusions, the photos and video as simply faked, elaborate hoaxes. The essential meaning of the reader comment is: what I read in this book doesn't fit what I want it to say or say it in the way I would like.

"...this is more fiction from the mind of Mr. De Haan". This perspective folks, is why we have so little contact and interaction with alien extraterrestrials and mankind. This idea is as common as water in the ocean.

The reader took the time to buy and read the book and offer a detailed review; for that I am grateful. I hope my interpretation has been illustrative. Here is what The Committee has to say about this subject, alien extraterrestrials, human observers and skeptics:

"Greetings alienists, our honor to assist with mere observations. Little difference do we see between yourselves and the visitors to your planet who travel from others to do so; humans see enormous differences, but we say, the chasm, gulf and wide distances are between us and all of you, all you aliens. Humans are as alien to Earth as you would be on Mars, with but one difference; your bodies were designed for Earth. The visitors to your planet are alien to their home planets in the same ways you all are to yours. The differences are few, but massively emphasized far beyond proportion.

The process of coming to know your alien extraterrestrial cousins develops and shall continue in the way of this book review; offer precedes doubt, which precedes more offerings which provoke more doubt; rinse and repeat. The façade made from whole cloth deteriorates much as the fabric breaks down with successive, repeated washings. The façade is created and perpetrated by the leadership humans elect and re-elect.

Intervene reluctant are your alien extraterrestrials friends and cousins, for the effects this would cause. Humans neither acknowledge nor recognize this but would, after changes were to become permanent and another, likely much preferable course could no longer be chosen and

followed. To use a human phrase, it would be too late then.

Much occurs now across Earth, both socially generated, an example being the road to perdition currently followed to reach the destination of thought restriction, and the physical phenomena occurring because of energy changes in the universe. Many events have always occurred to and across Earth but for the once again, voluminous and immediate delivery of information overload now and very recently common.

When you sense a falseness, a fiction and an untruth to what you see, read, hear or discover, this is the result of two things; you are quite right or are being tricked by your database of learned preferences.

To detect the trick, deception and manipulation of behavior requires the ability to doubt, check and examine. Few among the human population do this. Among the more educated members of human society, where it would at least superficially be logical to expect this, such students, –in universities nearly all, also many in education at the pre-university level– are actively dissuaded from doing so. Indoctrination is offered as fact, dissent labeled disobedient.

This pattern of thought sets up a reaction of feelings, not curiosity. *Sit vis vobiscum.*”