

Birth & Marriage Rates, Hypergamy & MGTOW

12 December 2020

Many of you instantly know what the rates are, however the second might not ring a big & quick bell and the last one could draw a big blank. Hypergamy is the anthropological process of females seeking mates for reproduction. The better choice is sought, for humans it means to "marry up", to improve position. (I don't know if it applies to primates, or other pairing creatures; I'm no anthropologist.) In humans, it's a natural, obvious thing many of us have seen or practiced, logically. A female heart surgeon probably isn't going to marry a man cleaning hospital floors. A woman generally seeks improved social and economic circumstance through marriage. In practice hypergamy has been controversial in some cases, where male mates are discarded, dumped or otherwise mistreated when a better option appears, or if pursued solely for wealth & status, called a gold digger in American slang.

MGTOW is an outgrowth, standing for Men Going Their Own Way (*a dumb name in my humble opinion*) which means avoiding relationships, romantic or friendly, because of expected problems or bad experiences. This is different from the "Incel" or involuntarily celibate male, avoided and rejected by females in pursuit of a small minority of available mates, from which the "incel" is excluded. In the western world, the preferable male means sufficiently handsome, tall, well paid/wealthy, generous, tolerant, etc. The "tall" parameter is the funniest or saddest, because it's impartial and if what's written is true, 80% of women pursue 20% of men, height being the first and fastest thing evaluated. I've read it's common for dating females in the USA, to insist on a height of at least 1m80 (about 6 feet), which cuts out more than 4/5 of all men, before anything else is considered.

Married for nearly three and half decades, I knew almost nothing about these things until a reader clued me in about two dozen moons ago. Birth and marriage rates in the USA are at historically lows, average age of marriage and childbirth higher than ever. Likely elsewhere, but I don't know.

I ask The Committee questions.

Q: Esteemed Committee, these concepts seem inter-related if also separate or distinct. Let's start with the involuntarily celibate.

C: This effect is not new at all, only newly reported.

Q: Why does it gain attention?

C: Empathy. Many men eager to join in, to express frustration.

Q: I have heard that anger and revenge exist, such as the mass killer in & around Los Angeles, California a few years ago. He complained that girls rejected him as part of his justification. Do public knowledge and participation play a role in allowing the anger to come out?

C: Yes, as with many issues where victimhood and mistreatment are felt.

Q: Is there a female equivalent of the male incel?

C: Of course, this has always existed also. Women are far less prone to violence, so the frustration manifests other ways. Males reject a far smaller percentage of females than the reverse.

Q: Okay, now the MGTOW movement. Can you describe it in general?

C: Men who believe women are more effort and dissatisfaction than benefits can ever be worth.

Q: What about controlling men, abusive or just irresponsible?

C: These have always existed, that effect is not a factor. MGTOW has resulted from female efforts to redefine thus increase control in relationships.

Q: Can you give an example?

C: Home decoration and furnishing. Cohabitation of a male and female to the point such decisions are made, nearly always sees female preferences prevail, a natural instinct to protect and beautify the nest. This natural instinct, to control the appearance of the nest, easily extends to controlling the relationship which requires the nest. The nest is but an example, not the cause. Females in certain nations have overextended general control, and MGTOW is the rebellion result.

Q: Women will complain that suggesting overextension of control is misogyny, mistreatment of women just because they're female.

C: This is possible, but women are not above criticism or accountability to the effects their behaviors and decisions bring about. Women have learned to use this as a shield. Women have successfully avoided accusations of misandry, nearly nobody knows the word.

Q: What about the declining birth and marriage rates?

C: Marriage is no longer a requirement for sexual intimacy. There were always exceptions to this, of course, but the convenient and inexpensive ability to prevent a pregnancy have lowered the risk significantly. Children are a significant time, effort and financial commitment less and less fertile adults wish to make.

Q: Why?

C: Convenience and ease.

Q: Have phases of humanity experienced what's now happening with sharply dropping marriage and birth rates?

C: Yes.

Q: Is a reversal coming?

C: No, not at all. Soon human fertility will drop sharply, as it already has been doing gradually. Male sperm counts have dropped quite a bit, part of The Shift, however exceptions exist and fertile human females have not yet passed through the initial effects reaching men.

Q: You have predicted a shrinking Earth population, where natural death rates exceed births because the latter drop off. Is this what we're seeing begin?

C: Yes.

Q: Is anything to be done about and by the men avoiding female relationships?

C: No. Observe if you are not a participant. If you are involved, understand the movements and trends; individual choice & behavior can significantly change individual circumstance. Awareness is required.

Q: One argument about which I read was feminism, that it has created many troubles for men and women in relationships.

C: This is very subjective; no one person creates anything unless it is voluntary. The successful efforts to remove barriers to female education and professional advancement have evolved into imposition of female traits and resulting preferences. This is one aspect of overall human tendency to exercise control. Feminism around the world never established objective criteria for measurement of success and definitions of satisfaction. It has become too easy and convenient to say male attitudes and behaviors are always a wrinkle to be ironed out. The minority cases which occur, are conflated to be representative of a majority, which is not the case.

Women have skillfully maneuvered to create exclusions to broad occupational areas yet sustain demands to be included in areas much preferred by females. Near complete absence of males from education, especially earlier years, brings about no male protests. Near complete absence of females from construction trades and materials manufacturing brings about no protests from women.

Women depend heavily on occupations they avoid almost completely, such crude oil production, iron ore mining, concrete and wood production yet depend on fuel, cars and housing as much or more than males. This is social skill not widely acknowledged.

Q: Does anything need be done about the MGTOW, incels and marriage or birth?

C: No. Awareness and understanding are offered, and will be adopted by precisely the people who need be aware. Others have the choice to ignore and reject information about social trends involving male/female mating. Changes beginning to come to Earth in a larger and soon, quite large way, will relegate these concerns to low priority.

Q: Esteemed Committee, thank you.

C: Our pleasure. Do return, as shall we.