

Artificial Intelligence

15 January 2023

Defined as a branch of computer science dealing with the simulation of intelligent behavior in computers, or the capability of a machine to imitate intelligent human behavior, it's discussed often and probably not going away anytime soon. What should we know other than what humans have to say? Let's ask The Committee.

Q: I am skeptical of that definition, "...imitate intelligent human behavior." Who gets to define intelligence, anyway?

C: Your question addresses human subjectivity, and from this flows the concept of intelligence. The observer or listener decides what is intelligent. Often humans place an emotional template on top.

Q: Behavior is thought, can computers think?

C: No.

Q: So artificial intelligence is a bad name.

C: Yes.

Q: Artificial means a copy? The dictionary says: made or produced by human beings rather than occurring naturally, especially as a copy of something natural.

C: What human beings make and produce is natural, natural to human beings. This definition is not good; it should say artificial means a facsimile made by humans which attempts to replicate what is made or produced without human involvement.

Q: Okay, now I understand why "artificial intelligence" is not a good name. Why then was the name cooked up?

C: Humans like names.

Q: Yup, we do. Why else?

C: To attempt to describe a more advanced computer application in an easy-to-understand way.

Q: If y'all had to choose a name for artificial intelligence, what would it be?

C: We would not choose a name, it doesn't exist. Computers are physical devices which operate according to design. The design is never superior to the human designer. This is not possible. Moreover, the definition of artificial is not accurate, because the computer devices are not imitations or attempts to create something which already exists or is produced without humans becoming involved. Computers are not vinyl in place of leather; computer devices do not resemble anything on Earth made without humans.

Q: Why do the creators call them intelligent?

C: The capacity of the human mind cannot be replicated by a physical device. Full stop, end of discussion.

Q: What is intelligence?

C: The default state of every soul in existence and creation, thus it does not exist. The word is used to classify thought ability and expression following. Since humans have decided that minds

cannot be read, that telepathic communication is not true. This decision limits humans to interpretation of intelligence written or spoken.

Performance by master musicians, athletes or artists, these three but a very small number of examples, utilize the brain to mind interface in as much a way as does the academic performer. The scientist, philosopher or researcher. This is also high intelligence.

Q: *What's the big concept of a computer which seems to imitate human behavior?*

C: The device can replace a human for a specific function.

Q: *Is this a positive or negative development?*

C: It can be both, depending on use.

Q: *Do other civilizations about the galaxy have devices which do this?*

C: Of course, and human development of such apparently thinking machines has been assisted by visitors from other stars, this help of course concealed tightly.

Q: *Tighter than the documents & stuff now reported stashed all over the place by Joe Biden?*

C: This is a manufactured matter, which should be obvious.

Q: *So, these computers are just very fast, using very complex programs when evaluated according to previous computer science standards?*

C: Yes. The programming incorporates routines and subroutines designed to imitate known human behavior.

Q: *How much human behavior is influenced by Guardian Angel Guides?*

C: This can be from very small amounts to as much as half but we take issue with the word "influence". You influence yourself; we will simply remind you of what you want, prefer and schedule for your life. We never control you; we intervene only where we are told.

The fast computers which seem to imitate human behavior are disconnected. The collective of human souls and all living things on Earth, do not include human machines.

Q: *What about the horror stories of AI war robots that kill humans?*

C: Very good programming for purpose, no programming to stop.

Q: *What if anything should humans do about what's being called artificial intelligence?*

C: Understand it. Humans should take on a role of guardian angel guide to such a device, being always ready to step in if necessary. Examples are everywhere of automobile incidents for vehicles which operate themselves. Human creation and use of machines cannot be free of risk. The assumption of bad news, bad operations and bad outcomes, adds expense and delay. Humans prefer quick, easy and inexpensive results; the active integration of risk into a process slows it by adding complication and cost.

Is the damage worth the convenience?

Do you see the great opportunity incarnation provides?

Q: *Thank you, Esteemed Committee.*

C: Our honor always.