

Writings of a Kook

9 December 2020

A new insult is in order, so please comment and indicate a preference, if you might. Suggestions include crackpot, crank, crazy, fool, dingbat, flake, fruitcake, lunatic, screwball, wacko, weirdo, lamebrain, flake, maniac & nut (macadamia, please). No true kook worthy of self-insanity can keep up such image if s/he remains consistent, right? Scatterbrain means: scattered brain.

The previous post triggered the synapses, but The Committee arrived to save the gray, as in matter. The day also, and indeed it's daytime as this is written.

What's a kook? What do the fifteen insults above really mean or indicate? We turn to The Committee.

Q: So, Esteemed Committee, what does it mean when human A refers to or calls human B a kook?

C: Human B chooses to label human A, because of and caused by human B's insecurities, of which B is often unaware. Most behavior considered judgmental derives from this effect.

Q: This is a common theme is psychology and analysis; disgust, hate and anger towards others is often self-directed yet concealed from oneself; it means we don't or can't admit to ourselves what we dislike about ourselves. Is this true?

C: In many cases but not all.

Q: People calling others kooks, what do the name callers believe it means?

C: On the surface the belief is, dislike of observed ideas or behaviors. This is the starting point, however most observed and disliked behavior does not provoke accusations of kookiness. Where the name caller feels the impulse to express this, s/he has often previously felt challenged or threatened by changes s/he dislikes and feels unable to control thus make them palatable.

New ideas which do not slot easily and neatly into the established database of knowledge, trigger emotions of attack or assault, discomfort and distress in such a person.

Q: Everybody's born without a database of human experience, so why do some people develop an open approach to ingesting new ideas but others learn to slam shut their filing cabinet with insults?

C: Control and the need for it.

Q: Control what and whom? Please expand!

C: From your earliest moments after birth, you began to exhibit controlling behaviors; this is human instinct. Any of you who have observed this in a newborn baby understand what happens; remember that all of you were also a newborn at the beginning of your incarnation. All of you exhibited similar behavior to control the humans around you, in order to survive. To eat.

More than food are needed; mental nutrients are required. Just as a vitamin and mineral deficiency will develop, so will an emotional shortfall. The natural response to a need for a nutrient is called a craving; the natural response to a need for emotional food is the sole behavior a small baby knows will best provoke a response from adults. As the child grows, sh/e learns other behaviors and responses and employs them to generate the mental nutrients preferred, and often needed.

Q: The solution to such behavior seems to be, pay closer attention to children.

C: Indeed.

Q: OK, how does this lead to adults calling others a kook?

C: Nearly none of you speak words when alone, either in conversation or otherwise. When speaking criticisms, it is for the benefit of and to engage surrounding humans. To express spoken or written dislike and negative sentiment about another person is one corner of a triangle; the listener or destination is the second corner and the target or object of the insult is third. The name caller seeks to recruit affirmation of her/his sentiment, knowing well it is an insult, and thus support for when the expected counter-reaction comes from the target.

This process generates in the speaker a sensation of strength, derived from the ability to disapprove of or put down an unusual idea, thus person. Often the target will return fire, fueling the discussion and enhancing the insulter's position as s/he sees it.

Q: What would be the ideal response, if any, to being called a kook?

C: None.

Q: What if the circumstances of keeping quiet and staring silently back might create a nervous discomfort in others present? Can something be said to alleviate their dislike which will not feed the need?

C: We suggest asking the insulter to offer a counterargument, not just a label.

Q: Do souls ever insult one another? Ever call each other a bloody wanker or two bit jerk off? A kook?

C: No. This process is human, and represents one of many, many opportunities for soul experience which attract incarnation.

Q: The oldest explanation we've heard so many times; bad is good, we learn more from pain than gain, to err is human, it's so great to be screwed over because it feels so bad...

C: Because it is knowledge unavailable to your soul otherwise. You have incarnated to seek what you now experience.

Q: I confess enjoyment at being thought of as odd, until the times such thinker held power over and used it against me.

C: But what you have taught them! How will you react to their expressions of gratitude, when this comes?

Q: I don't even see that bridge much want to cross, so I'll tackle it if and when. OK, next question about kookiness, and the other suggested insulting names so common; if they provide the illusion of control thus power over others, soothing user speaker insecurities, why don't these words fall out of favor when the expected results never materialize?

C: Because the emotions of inferiority caused by threats, are likewise an illusion, just as is the insult. No human is a lamebrain, not even one saying it.

Maintaining an illusion often brings benefits, and when these manifest most likely it will be after you have left your current bodies permanently to return to your natural home. In other words, such human behaviors are a programmed opportunity.

Q: If everybody changes course in life, even after reversing a life contract clause requiring the opportunity to be insulted or toss them, we'd create Shangri-La, wouldn't we?

C: No, not everybody will change course and this aspect of human life is by design. Your home is already paradise, you have incarnated to experience an alternative. The some humans will insert negativity into a human life incarnation precisely for this purpose, both as volunteer for others and for soul self-benefit, and as target or victim.

Q: Can or need anything be done today, in the current stage of human activity and development, to reduce the volume of bad news, negative information, conflict, arguments and disagreements which seem broadcast everywhere, all the time?

C: Of course, all humans have this power but "needs" represent the most subjective concept in any human society or civilization. You need nothing but as a human, you learn to believe otherwise. Immediately upon completion of life, this will be automatically obvious.

The taught ideas of lack, of shortfalls, insufficiencies and wants convert into needs, and say we, highly subjective a view is this. In such light, no needs are unmet currently involving bad news, negative information, conflict, arguments and disagreements.

What any human deems bad, negative, conflicting and disagreeable is as variable as food spice or flavor preferences. So we say, no need exists to do this but what you prefer.

More basically, to answer what can be done, this is as easy as waking up, which is effortless for all humans. Simply do not offer anything you understand & know in advance which might be seen as such. Listen closely for feedback you are given, which describes what is done as bad, negative, conflicting or disagreeable. Do not do it again.

Just a few people following this advice will cause it to explode into practice. In what will seem like an instant, the race to find new negativity definitions and behaviors will begin.

Q: We're going to revert to negativity after eradicating it?

C: Of course, this is the way of the human. You can always choose your participation content and degree of involvement in its creation, as easily as you may choose your reaction, if any.

Be well and do return, one and all.