

USA & World Politics

05 May 2016

Stepping in, or rather breaking in to my thoughts, The Committee brings up a subject discussed a while back; US presidential candidates. A reader asked who would prevail and be elected. The answer was, [\[at that time, that\]](#) he has not decided yet.

This was in a YouTube video, BTW. [\[One of two I made to try out that format.\]](#) There are only two (*so far*) so in the search field for YouTube, simply enter The Amendment Channeling, if you haven't hear the vocal Q&A in my voice.

The answer is now clear; Donald Trump. Says The Committee to me clearly, he will be elected next President of the United States.

C: Reaction from elation to horror will there be at the idea. We wish to say, as the course of events stand now, Mr. Trump will prevail.

Q: *I am getting the strong feeling it is not a certainty.*

C: This question attaches to predictions and the future. There can be, and often is, a certainty for an individual person. Each of you can and has chosen certain events to be certain. This is common and possible. Much we have spoken on this matter, this subject.

The common effect across many people, a community, region, nation or large grouping, can always be modified. There are always options inside the free will of many members of the larger group. It is possible for one or a few to act as catalyst, and create a change in course.

We say, there will be many who seek to change course away from what we foretell now. Many who oppose the possibility of Mr. Trump as leader of the USA.

We shall say, if you oppose this, you are not in opposition to the candidate. You are opposing your fellow soul, for Mr. Trump is not entering power without approval of voters, and support he receives derives from this same place, constituents. The electorate.

The trends of society, humanity and the USA's role in them, lead to this point. Long ago Mr. Trump chose this course, as did his future predecessor, the incumbent. He chose the course for his life according to a likely series of events and conditions human behavior would create. These have been created; humanity has chosen and into the role he now steps.

Do you oppose him? If so, look not to him solely, yet to the conditions surrounding the world he has not created, neither the world nor the conditions.

Do you decry his ambition? Did you likewise decry the ambition of others in a similar place in their moment?

Do you support his ideas and if they appeal, why? What about his approach says what to your preferences?

You cannot be told what you should believe or do. There are many specific interests, both individual and within a segment or sector, that will favor or oppose for the effect upon that specific interest they prefer.

Q: *As things stand now, Trump will win?*

C: Yes.

Q: *Some people call him a clown.*

C: Do clowns reach the places a presidential candidate occupies? We suggest Mr. Trump be opposed

for his inability.

Q: What will be the effect on the world and on the USA if Trump gains power?

C: Little, as has occurred with the incumbent and predecessors. We suggest not which predecessor has achieved what result, for doing so is what we have repeated often; you may and can all choose your opinion. We avoid handing you a point-of-view and simply suggest observing them where you do not share them.

Q: Why would Trump or any other candidate-turned -president have little effect?

C: They step into circumstances they have not created. What could they say to uncreate the atmosphere of which they have taken advantage?

Q: Can you give an example? I am thinking of one.

C: We will explain the one you consider. The current, incumbent president of the USA said marriage was one man and one woman, when conducting a campaign for his first election to office. His age was approaching fifty. He has reversed this view. Who reading these words believes the intelligence and cunning required to reach such position allow for the same person to be unaware and undecided on the matter of marriage, at such age and stage of life? A man married many years with children from the marriage has given the matter thought, and we say now, he did. The circumstances surrounding the changes recently brought about were not the creation of any one person, president or otherwise. The position and view expressed were an individual decision; we leave all of you to decide which point-of-view was not accurate. Time will reveal this, we say, so the information in currently not of consequence. We cite this as but an example of political maneuvering within greater trends.

Q: What about the huge number of candidates currently in play?

C: Ambition mixed with opportunity play a large role.

Q: What do other nations think of the process?

C: They see the overloaded slate of political opposition against the tiny incumbent party field of candidates as evidence the president's party has been a disappointment. They see unwillingness to oppose the leading candidate for that party resulting from the perceived effort required to overcome her. The circumstances were good for the incumbent president when running for the office; these circumstances have evaporated and been reversed. Potential candidates are keenly aware of the cycle and their approach to the bottom of it. This is what nations outside the USA see, in part or in all, in the process for the US presidency.

Q: Is Greece going to recover and return to its previous position in the EU and also the eurozone?

C: No.

Q: Are there other European nations to follow?

C: Yes. Portugal looms.

Q: There is rampant talk of states in the USA opposing the federal government and in my own, talk of secession simmers.

C: These trends will continue.

Q: Why?

C: The central government of the USA has grown too large. There are other nations where the central authority is equally large in proportion to the population. These nations are small enough to operate, because they remain connected through commerce to neighbors and even others far away. The USA is too large to benefit from neighborly commerce and relations such that these interactions support and smooth over the domination of the central authority.

Bear always in mind, in the absence of a tyrant who seized power to which his neighbors and peers either acquiesced or approved, by some specific advantage the seizure offered, the central authority of a nation is its collective choice.

The USA has chosen its central authorities, determined its size and observed the results. Disappointment and dissent now rise.

Q: I rarely think of things to ask about other large regions of the world, and I guess because they are beyond my understanding. I know so little about China or Russia.

C: We skew you away from these subjects. There is almost no interest in what we offer through you, in those regions. Offers to the many would-be readers in them, to consider what we say, would be colored with your nationality and regional affiliation thus rejected. You would be called a such and such Texan and written off with no further consideration.

As events and trends in those regions, or any, might affect readership, we would respond.

Q: When y'all say you skew me away, do you suppress questions?

C: We de-emphasize them through replacement. We conduct a slide show of ideas relevant to you and your readership, both current and soon to expand exponentially.

Q: Will you answer any question, if it involves the areas about which I don't know?

C: Yes, we will never deny a response. We might and always do tailor them to avoid difficulties that would serve no one.

Q: That sounds like code speak for lies and deception.

C: The lairs and deceivers of Earth have trained you all well, have they not? Sociopath behavior is always going to be interesting to study, observe and experience, both as and along with one.

We can anticipate reaction and benefit of effects - or otherwise - and understand what would be done that undermines. Remember, there is no time for us, neither is there for you, any of you. We do not choose for you, yet we direct you to the safe road where we know you might unwittingly veer off a broken bridge you cannot yet see.

Q: I will guess the principle concern most people in western society is economic. Is this accurate?

C: On a weighted average basis, yes.

Q: We are always looking for certainty and security in this area.

C: Create it; your current circumstance is also your creation.

Q: Collectively, yes but individually we mostly feel powerless.

C: We return to the beginning of this session and say, do you now understand better the appeal of Mr. Trump?

Be well until again.

Comments

Yang 5/5/2016 22:14:47

Thanks for great post.

Assuming world conflict to rise, the economic tumbling downwards to continue and the general disability for people to satisfy themselves, I'd say it is highly likely that Trump will win. "Extreme" candidates usually are in favour in time of turmoil and this time is not different.

However, I'm curious as to what Trump ultimately will be able to achieve as the commander in chief - As the very axis of Earth is about to shift - What will be his real powers?

1. Will Trump be the last president to ever position that role?
2. Will he end up as a scapegoat for the previous 50 years of mismanagement?
3. There has been talk about a new world "governance" forming as an alliance of the American countries - Will Trump have a role in that foundation and if so - in what way?
4. How will that new governance look like and will it be supported by the people?
5. Will Trump help to work for the people and not just for himself?

6. Will he reveal alien collaboration?
7. Does he know what is to come regarding the things that has been talked about on this site and others?
8. And - Will US Presidential election be held November 6 or will it be postponed?

Thanks Patrick and TC.

Patrick 6/5/2016 12:43:21

Established interests and/or political opposition can see Trump being extreme, but he's won many state elections, against 16 other candidates. Trump is representative, by that measure. Commander-in-chief = military and I hope Trump achieves "nothing" related to war.

A separate post for these questions, stand fast,

Yang 7/5/2016 02:17:15

Thanks,

1. Personally, I'm a Trump-supporter and don't view him as extreme in any way - quite far from it actually
2. Commander in Chief = President, wasn't thinking about any war, just as "President", albeit that also includes the role as the Commander in Chief.

BK 8/5/2016 19:29:00

separate post following on this one was just awesome. Thank you.

Steve 6/5/2016 05:01:02

Wow, the possibility of a Clown as a leader, there's something new (not). Looks to me from my little peice of tranquility way down in the North Queensland tropics of Oz, that Mickey Mouse is about to hand the keys to The Big Bad Wolf there in Washington ☐

Patrick 8/5/2016 11:48:08

LOL

Santanu Acharya 6/5/2016 11:36:56

When you posted it first, it was hardly believable. Even a few weeks ago when Ted Cruz was going strong it was hard to believe that he would bounce back like this. So its amazing. But we probably shouldn't be.

So what next? What will the Trump Presidency be like? I know that "prophecies" may fail. But during Trump's Presidency, there are probably some events which are certain to happen. and some which are likely or not likely to happen. So can you throw some light?

1. Will there be really a wall on the Mexican border?
2. Will any war take place in Trump's Presidency in which US would be involved?
3. Will the economic collapse happen during Trump's presidency?
4. Will there be an Earthquake in California during his rule?
5. Anything else that sets apart his rule from the previous US Presidents?

Thanks.

Stephen 6/5/2016 12:19:05

The Committee is usually right, whether we like it or not. I can see how Patrick (and the Committee) will sky-rocket in readership once this prediction of Trump's presidency becomes well known.

Technically, all the hard work of Patrick and the Committee to be disseminated to a greater audience should be good (for humanity), even though a lot of dissent may be generated by some religious zealots.

Personally, I will miss the good old days when his hardcore fans can enjoy the personal attention and loving care by Patrick, because it will soon become impossible for Patrick to respond to all the new readers (and the press)!

Again, thank you Patrick and the Committee for shinning the light in this confusing time of the Shift. Your message deserves much greater attention by the whole world, even if the world may not deserve your loving care.

PS - I now work in Asia and am keenly interested in views of the Committee for that region. The few times that the Committee expressed its views on matters there, I am in total agreement (which is pretty scary for

me).

Patrick 8/5/2016 09:44:59

I doubt many people would be impressed with just The Committee's July 2015 information on Trump, but the unexpected is always possible!

BH 6/5/2016 12:20:57

Worldwidepredictions.com said today that their spirits are predicting Hillary will win.

Patrick 8/5/2016 11:50:34

It's not what I'm getting at all. Goes to show, different opinions are not unique to Earth and choice exists even in Heaven.

Frank 6/5/2016 15:14:21

Patrick, thanks for reposting. I had searched unsuccessfully for this very post a while back, hoping to share it with others. I had forgotten it was emailed so did not locate it. I shared this post with a few others at the time, while doubting Trump would win. I had the thought that if he did win, something outlandish at the time, it would help those I shared it with to believe this type communication was possible, while no doubt strengthening my own. Thanks so much for what you and your GAGs do.

Matt 6/5/2016 16:35:59

"-Is Greece going to recover and return to its previous position in the EU and also the eurozone?

-No

-Are there other European nations to follow?

-Yes. Portugal looms."

Patrik,

Could you ask C. when exactly this will happen, will it happen during the current year or next year?

Mike 7/5/2016 18:26:48

Matt, Patrick has mentioned to me that the UK will leave the EU and this will start the collapse of the EU. As other EU nations will see our example to follow.

You only have to look at countries protesting about Migrants and refugees in the EU now, to know the cracks are beginning to show. On paper the EU was a good idea. In practise, it would seem to be a different story.

Patrick 8/5/2016 12:01:15

No, The Committee either cannot or will not give dates (or times) of events, mostly because they cannot. Here's a brief explanation, paraphrasing from what The Committee has previously said.

Imagine the timing of events as a person throwing a dart at a 2 meter diameter revolving cork globe 4 or 5 meters away, which is also bobbing up and down randomly about 20-30cm as it rotates 3 times per second.

Can this model globe be hit with the dart? Nearly every time; how about the location of the dart? Almost impossible to predict.

Now imagine the cork globe rotating much faster (10 times per second) but the dart is shot through a rifle from fifty meters. At what spot might it strike and on what rotation (or day)? That would depend on how many rotations would occur while taking good aim, a large variable.

When an precise window of time is requested, it's similar to such a dart throw- and shooting process.

SueN 15/5/2016 17:58:35

Hi Patrick, If possible, would you be able to do a topic on Britian's EU Referendum? The referendum date is 23rd June 2016. I understood the EC has mentioned that there will be a Brexit i.e. Britain will leave the EU, which will subsequently also cause other countries to leave too. The questions I have are as follows:

1. Given all the dire warnings of Brexit recently, will Britain leave the EU on 23rd June 2016?
In the case of Brexit:
2. Will the US investment banks move their headquarters and major activities out of Britain?
3. Will there be a sudden financial shock to Britian?
4. Will UK be in recession?
5. Will it cause global recession?

6. Will it cause house price crash in London?
7. Will London cease to be the financial hub in Europe?
8. Will London thrive again post Brexit? If so, on what?
9. Will UK have a very hard time to negotiate trade deals with other nations post Brexit?
10. Will UK still have to sacrifice its border control and subject to most EU regulations post Brexit in order to get the trade deal with EU?

I would appreciate if the EC and you could answer these questions.

Trump Q&A

08 May 2016

Two readers had enough questions about the previous entry, for a separate post. The Committee answers:

Q: Will Trump be the last president to ever position that role?

C: No, there will be more candidates for elective office in the USA and also around the world, that bring new, fresh and controversial approaches and style. There are already some who are planning to imitate the political trail Mr. Trump has created, such is the desire for power. The results will be mixed, at best. Mr. Trump will be followed by a leader as different from his style and approach as Trump compared to Mr. Obama.

Q: Will he end up as a scapegoat for the previous 50 years of mismanagement?

C: To his detractors, yes and to his supporters, no, yet both views will be off the mark somewhat, as is always the case with politicians. There will be broad acceptance of Mr. Trump's talent for pragmatic, insightful solutions to problems, but these will be of limited value. The expanding role of government presence in social issues will see a somewhat abrupt de-emphasis, as this will not be a priority or focus for Mr. Trump's administration. To suggest the last half century of human time and events are mismanaged, seeks to assign responsibility for events to come; we say, there is a greater trend in motion which would have come to pass no matter what choices were made over the five decades of human time mentioned. Human decisions have influenced the points of origin, thus the direction of change and of course outcomes. Mr. Trump's detractors will complain of partial successes as failure and his supporters will applaud partial success as avoidance of far worse, if less than ideal. So goes the human political game, we observe with fascination.

Q: There has been talk about a new world "governance" forming as an alliance of the american countries - Will Trump have a role in that foundation and if so - in what way?

C: No. The talk of a world government foretells events and trends that will bring about the steady move towards less isolated governance, currently limited to sovereign nations and a few regional commerce and military agreements. This development will not gain steam until well after Mr. Trump has left the political scene.

Q: How will that new governance look like and will it be supported by the people?

C: Sovereign nations will remain in place; the world government will be created to address common interests, its approach and leadership chosen by the member sovereign nations that choose to participate; not all will. The pattern will resemble the template of the organization used in the United States, however the world government will play a far smaller role, than does the government of the USA in the lives of citizens in its states and territories. Many events to come will illuminate the risks and pitfalls of ever larger governments, a worldwide trend, and there will be an achieved effort to limit governing authority to a far smaller role than many humans now consider normal or even ideal, for this world agreement.

Q: Will Trump help to work for the people and not just for himself?

C: Yes, entirely. He is not seeking wealth, fame or influence beyond what he has already achieved. A life purpose he has come to Earth to fulfill, is to lessen the skeptical, even chagrined idea that all politicians are not to be trusted; that all of them, some to a greater extent and other less so, generally follow a path to greater personal gain, at the expense of the citizens who grant them authority.

This is not a suggestion there shall be wide agreement with his style and approach, or with his positions and policies. Strong opposition will continue.

Q: Will he reveal alien collaboration?

C: No; this will not be initiated by government officials or agents in any nation. The extraterrestrials will provide unmistakable clues of their presence independently and directly to the people they choose to observe them.

Q: Does he know what is to come regarding the things that has been talked about on this site and others?

C: No.

Q: And - Will US Presidential election be held November 6 or will it be postponed?

C: Yes, United States elections will take place as scheduled.

Q: Will there be really a wall on the Mexican border?

C: There already is. It will be upgraded and expanded. Bear in mind, the law providing for such barrier was put into effect long ago. Mr. Trump's government will follow through in the implementation of what the law allows and requires.

To the issue of immigration, we say the following will happen. Many people enter the United States with permission which expires, and have remained. There will be an effort to locate and deport these people, and the effect will be to cause many such others to leave voluntarily before being located. Such individuals had to provide information to enter, and this will make location easier. Criminals apprehended in the commission of other crimes will be deported in far greater numbers than has been done and many incarcerated illegal aliens will also be offered deported by the Trump government, if held in prisons of the states. The entry of new, would-be immigrants without permission will fall sharply, even before the changes to the physical barrier are nearing completion, as enforcement will be increased. Many people will depart in advance of such changes catching up with them.

Q: Will any war take place in Trump's Presidency in which US would be involved?

C: Yes, although such conflicts are already occurring, and the USA is already involved. These will flare up from time to time, and there will be a general ebb and flow. How involved or otherwise will turn on the interpretation and definition of war, now generally considered to involve size, sometimes duration.

Q: Will the economic collapse happen during Trump's presidency?

C: The trend is already occurring and will continue. Most financial collapses are unseen until just before they occur, and there will be several of these, yet sudden implosions of purchasing ability and wealth, across the world, are not going to occur. Abrupt declines in perceived wealth in very short frames of time are not going to be spread across the world. There will be a continuations of the trend already in motion, with individual rises and declines in certain markets, nations and industries.

Q: Will there be an Earthquake in California during his rule?

C: In addition to the tremors which occur there nearly every day? We beg you forgive us for the joke, and we say, we understand what you ask, will there be a serious, abrupt seismic event that causes widespread negative effects? Yes. This is more likely during Mr. Trump's second term. Yes, as events and decisions stand, he will be re-elected.

Q: Anything else that sets apart his rule from the previous US Presidents?

C: Much to the great surprise of many existing detractors, who shall remain unsupportive, it will be noted that Mr. Trump will approach matters much as business deals, understanding participants do so voluntarily and must see a good possibility of gain. This will be a marked contrast to the existing executive branch of government in the USA, which approaches matters with a view to altering them generally because they are often seen to be off course, or well intentioned yet falling short or simply flawed, requiring correction or repair. As we have said already, Mr. Trump will not demonstrate great interest in social issues. These will be given a lower place and priority on his list of objectives, and his detractors will seize upon this as an ongoing point from which to criticize. These will have almost no effect in general; Mr. Trump's life course so far has involved many events where he came under public scrutiny, scorn and criticism and he is well adapted to it; it has little effect. He cares little about popularity in the way an established career politician views this issue; he understands he will attract two critics for three supporters gained and will direct efforts towards supplying positive gains for the three, leaving it to that sixty percent to mollify the opposed two fifths. He will set aside his critics as a distraction that could erode time and effort from priorities. There has been political success distracting candidate attention and so efforts to achieve this will continue, likely to be almost ignored by Mr. Trump. This will surprise many an observer, who have so far seen Mr. Trump react vigorously to attacks and criticism from competitors. Once in office, he will not give much time, if any, to what he sees as noise with which no competition exists. This will be converted to a new basis of criticism, that Mr. Trump is not listening to the people. Mr. Trump will counter this argument, saying he did listen to the majority that eventually elected him.

Mr. Trump's role in Earth's development is another step humanity has chosen to follow, to lessen the notions of leaders providing answers, solutions and advantages seen to be difficult or impossible to obtain unless granted by a central authority. The current administration has approached disagreement, and Mr. Trump's will do so, as becoming distractions if allowed to have influence thus effect, and in this way will resemble one another. The difference between them will be a large reordering of the list of objectives and priorities. Be well, and do return soon.

Comments

BK 8/5/2016 19:28:15

Sweet.

Yang 9/5/2016 04:25:29

Thanks Patrick,

Regarding the Committés answer;

"...sudden implosions of purchasing ability and wealth, across the world, are not going to occur. Abrupt declines in perceived wealth in very short frames of time are not going to be spread across the world."

Of course, "sudden" is a highly subjective term, but didn't they imply that we are going to have a change that will affect transportation and that change will basically happen over night? Or are the possibilities for such a scenario now decided - by the collective - to be outruled, or minimized?

Patrick 10/5/2016 10:56:02

No overnight changes to transportation. No, I don't recall The Committee ever saying that, although indeed, there will come to be significant changes to Earth transportation methods and volume.

SIGNIFICANT.

These will be steady and more gradual with a few abrupt moments along the way....

Yang 11/5/2016 21:50:01

Thanks Patrick - I will re-read and re-understand :)

Stephen YIP 9/5/2016 10:10:42

Yes, the writing is on the wall (and on the Amendment website) - President Trump is coming!

But a second term of the Trump presidency? There is no better illustration for the term "tyranny of democracy".

Katherine 9/5/2016 16:47:39

I, too, initially thought the idea of Trump as president was completely far fetched but it's been such fun to watch the election process over the last several months with the knowledge that Trump would prevail.

Questions from readers have been thoughtful and interesting, the answers so insightful.

Does the Committee have a hint who will be Trump's VP choice? It seems there are several former adversaries more than willing to buddy up to the Donald.

Patrick 10/5/2016 10:53:55

The Committee says Trump's VP choice is very much a free will issue at this point and has not been decided. As of the 10th of May, Trump's list of people to ask has not been completed or ranked and more than one person on the list will decline if asked to become candidate.

Who's already on the list so far? Says The Committee, "all the obvious choices."