

Quantum Physics, Warp Speed & Alien Bases

8 June 2016

A reader sent an article about a book, which I've summarized. (*The article, not the book; the latter I have not read*)

I like the pseudonym of the poster - Deus Nexus - which means "Link to God". That's all of us, not just her/him! We are linked to what we are and create, collectively; even atheists and agnostics, right?

The Committee then addresses related questions.

=====

Claims Quantum Physics Proves Afterlife

Posted on November 15, 2013 by Deus Nexus

- Robert Lanza claims the theory of biocentrism says death is an illusion
- He said life creates the universe, and not the other way round
- This means space and time don't exist in the linear fashion we think it does
- He uses the famous double-split experiment to illustrate his point
- Space and time aren't linear, thus death can't exist in "any real sense" either

Most scientists would probably say the concept of an afterlife is either nonsense or at the very least unprovable. One expert claims he has evidence to confirm an existence beyond the grave, and it lies in quantum physics. Professor Robert Lanza claims the theory of biocentrism teaches that death, as we know it, is an illusion created by human consciousness.

Professor Robert Lanza claims the theory of biocentrism suggests death as we understand it to be an illusion. He believes our consciousness creates the universe and not the reverse. Once accepted the idea that space and time are "tools of our minds", death can't exist in "any real sense" either.

Professor Robert Lanza's theory is explained in his book *Biocentrism: How Life and Consciousness are the Keys to Understanding the True Nature of the Universe*.

"We think life is just the activity of carbon and an admixture of molecules; we live a while and then rot into the ground," said the scientist on his website.

Lanza, from Wake Forest University School of Medicine in North Carolina, says that as humans we believe in death because "we've been taught we die" or more specifically, our consciousness associates life with bodies. We know that bodies die.

His theory of biocentrism explains how death may not be as terminal as we believe. Biocentrism could be considered a theory of everything, from the Greek word roots for "life centre". It is the belief that life and biology are central to reality and that life creates the universe, not the other way round.

This suggests a person's consciousness determines the shape and size of objects in the universe. Lanza uses the example of the way we perceive the world around us. A person sees a blue sky, and is told that the colour they are seeing is blue, but the cells in a person's brain could be changed to make the sky look green or red.

Our consciousness makes sense of the world, and can be altered to change this interpretation. By looking at the universe from a biocentric's point of view, this also means space and time don't behave in the hard & fast ways our consciousness tell us they do.

In summary, space and time are "simply tools of our mind."

Once this theory about space and time being mental constructs is accepted, it suggests death and the idea of immortality exist in a world without spatial or linear boundaries.

Theoretical physicists believe that there is infinite number of universes with different variations of people and situations taking place simultaneously.

Lanza added that everything which can possibly happen is occurring at some point across these multiverses and this means death can't exist in "any real sense" either.

Lanza instead says that when we die, our lives become a "perennial flower that returns to bloom in the multiverse."

"Bottom line: What you see could not be present without your consciousness," explained Lanza. "Our consciousness makes sense of the world."

Lanza cites the double-slit test to support his claims. When scientists watch a particle pass through two slits, the particle goes through one slit or the other. Unobserved by humans, the particle acts like a wave and can pass through both slits simultaneously. Its behaviour changes because of a person's perception.

HOW THE DOUBLE-SLIT EXPERIMENT SUPPORTS LANZA'S THEORY

During an observed part of the experiment, a particle passes through two slits in a barrier and behaves like a bullet; one slit or the other. Unobserved, it behaves like a wave; it can go through both slits at the same time.

This demonstrates how matter and energy can display characteristics of both waves and particles, and that the behaviour changes from perception and consciousness.

Lanza added that everything which can possibly happen is occurring at some point across the multiverses, which means death can't exist in "any real sense" either.

He continues: "Life is an adventure that transcends our ordinary linear way of thinking. When we die, we do so not in the random billiard-ball-matrix but in the inescapable-life-matrix."

Lanza's full theory is explained in his book *Biocentrism: How Life and Consciousness are the Keys to Understanding the True Nature of the Universe*.

=====

Q: Esteemed Committee, why do particles act as bullets or waves?

C: Projectiles do this also. They follow curved trajectories as gravity, drag and wind act upon them. No projectile follows a straight line, it only seems relatively straight compared to most projectile trajectories. Velocity or speed are a large factor in this perception.

Q: In other words, if bullets or arrows moved more slowly, we'd see the trajectory more easily.

C: Of course, and to slow a projectile, an observer can increase velocity to keep pace; the bullet would not seem to move quickly, where you traveled along with it.

Q: How does the dual slit experiment work?

C: Like everything; a bullet encounters drag from the friction with air through which it passes; it is also acted upon by gravity. It can be acted upon by magnetism, a different form of gravity and also lateral air movements, or wind, which also exert effect and influence. More wind causes a bullet to strike its target to the left or right of the expected point of impact, absent the wind. Your mental energy is wind.

Q: So when we say "blow me down" when surprised with amazing, unexpected information...

C: The saying follows the effect.

Q: How can a particle go through both slits?

C: It loops.

Q: *How can that be? If it hits the target in two places...*

C: Mental energy accelerates it. Humans do not have the physical ability to push a bullet faster than kinetic energy propels it, yet slower velocities make this simple to do. One bicycle rider can reach onto the saddle of an adjacent rider and push that rider forward; human mental energy and focus does the same. The relative velocities are above human physical perception. Just as the holes remain in a target long after the passing bullet has formed them, so do the marks of the particle which loops through both slits.

Q: *We don't do this consciously; in other words we don't look at the experiment being performed and think, "wave; pass through both slits because now I am looking".*

C: No, and that is not necessary. Simply be aware you are observing, through your eyes and with your mind. You accept that you cannot see the particle, only the results. This acceptance, or more correctly, decision to not refuse the results, allows the process to occur.

Q: *What happens when we look away, not observing the particle?*

C: Your gaze focuses mental energy, much the way microwaves can be aimed and directed. When you avert your gaze, your mental energy is steered away. You remove the wind from having effect, in other words.

Q: *Is this why some people have difficulty performing certain tasks when watched? Or prefer to be watched, when doing certain things, such as a musician performing?*

C: Entirely. The mental energy of observation is to the act what the gravity and wind become for the bullet.

Q: *We can't measure these mental waves.*

C: Not yet. The effects can be observed and always have been. Such effects will be more closely observed in what humans would consider a scientific way, and this will begin to establish existence of mental energy otherwise far outside human physical perception. Just as there are things inside the human physical environment which cannot be easily detected, but yes measured. The trajectory of a bullet is one good example.

Q: *Let's go back to some things in the summary; for example, that space and time are tools of our minds.*

C: This is what we have often said about time not existing and distances across outer space being much closer than understood.

Time is simply cyclical counting. Count half the cycle and the numbers drop by fifty percent. Why do humans count twelve hours twice, and not twenty four but once? The human habit of using a dozen has its roots in atomic and subatomic structure, but need not be followed, for as cyclical counting.

Distance is but a function of elapsed time, and this requires the pace humans perceive to continue.

The pace of time, set by Earth's rotation, loses meaning when Earth's rotations do not apply.

Q: *So human space travel to distant stars means Earth time only applies before departure and after return?*

C: Correct. Time is suspended when time no longer exists.

Q: *So, if we travel to a nearby star and its planets, with a digital watch on our wrist, will it continue to keep accurate time during the journey?*

C: Yes, however the expectation of time required will be far less than the watch will display.

Q: *So, if I set my Timex Triathlon to measure elapsed time, blasted off from Earth, traveled to Pluto, made six orbits, then traveled to Saturn and made a dozen orbits there (nice rings!) to then return to Earth, would the time on the watch be accurate when I got back?*

C: Yes, if the device were shielded from electromagnetic energy that would disturb its operation. Accurate would only hold meaning relative to Earth. Because your travel originates and ends on Earth, the measured pace regains meaning upon return.

Q: When I watch a Star Trek episode and they discuss "five hours to arrival" what does that mean?
C: Nothing unless agreement on five hours is made. To suggest a living being from another planet understand this requires they both translate from one time measurement system to another, which requires devices from both.

Q: Kind of like Fahrenheit and Celsius temperatures.
C: This is a good comparison.

Q: Do physical beings have time measurement on other planets?
C: Yes, it is common.

Q: Can humans remain inside the time cycles of other planets, suggesting we could adapt?
C: Yes, in some cases however the human form cannot adapt to huge and permanent variations, and this would vary from one human to another. If the human body were placed into an environment with no darkness, the ability to remain awake and sleep in the typical Earth proportion of two thirds awake to one third asleep would be challenged and would become difficult. Likewise for visitors to Earth.

Q: Can visitors to Earth create an artificial environment replicating the cycles they prefer?
C: They have and do, on the hidden bases or locations placed on and around Earth.
Q: We have alien ET bases on Earth?
C: Yes, since before humans were present and until today.

Q: Why can't we find them?
C: They are hidden from detection; they will never be found until revealed intentionally by their inhabitants.

Q: So it is easy to dismiss their existence as a fantasy?
C: Yes, that is the intent.

Q: What will happen when alien ET Earth bases are revealed?
C: They will be largely dismantled and removed from detection; the absence of need for concealment will remove the usefulness of their existence, They will no longer be necessary. They will no longer serve good function.

Q: OK, what intrigues me more is this notion our thoughts create reality. In fact just yesterday I watched an old Star Trek Deep Space Nine episode where the inhabitants of a planet in the Gamma quadrant of the galaxy, 70,000 years across the Milky Way from our quarter, used mental energy to repel a yearly aggressor. The evil entity appeared as a cloud, shooting gas like rays to the ground causing explosions on impact. The townsfolk would focus their energy, determination and resistance on the evil cloud and it would shrink and withdraw.

C: This is an allegory, or representation of what occurs. It is true, in other words.

Q: A group of humans could gather, gaze and focus energies upon a target and make it do things based on collective desire?
C: Yes, all that is required is belief.

Q: Let's put five thousand people at a base camp on the sides of Mount Everest in the Himalayas. All five thousand look towards the summit and collectively wish for it to fall off, to crack, break and come crashing down. This could be done?

C: Yes. All that is required are five thousand or fewer true believers. You could not and would not assemble such size a group in that location, so the chance this could be proven in the way humans would believe, is nearly nil.

Q: This goes back to the beginning of the article, where the suggestion would be written off as unprovable.
C: This is the dichotomy, conundrum or contradiction of human proof of requirements; what humans require as proof undermines its manifestation. Humans want to see physical proof they refuse to see,

because they refuse to believe it can be created, had and observed.

Q: If space and time are tools of our minds, then how do we get them out of our collective tool chests?

C: Belief. How many humans disbelieved the existence of bacteria as cause of infection?

Q: What tools will allow measurement of mental energy?

C: What tools allowed measurement of electricity or magnetism? For many millenniums, there was no ability. Electricity was limited to random sparks, or lightning.

Q: We have no way to observe mental energy?

C: Of course mankind does; it is called thought. Intuition, reaction, instinct and feelings, which are to mental energy what observance of lightning is to electricity. What falling is to gravity.

Q: What about changing colors? We all agree the sky is blue; what would make it green?

C: Reprogram your brain; that is all.

Q: We all know what green is, without its name.

C: Not a blind person. Green and blue are but learned information.

Q: So we switch names but the colors remain the same. The grass appears blue, the sky green.

C: Welcome to Heaven, where you can do this as you please and prefer.

Q: The colors remain the same, just the names change.

C: How about no names? Animals do not name colors yet perceive them as you do.

Q: Animals are not color blind?

C: Not most.

Q: This is very confusing. I don't need to know the name blue to understand the color of the sky, to see what color it is. Especially when yellow, orange, pink and almost red show up in the evening.

C: Switch around the names, if you prefer.

Q: I don't mean that, what I mean is the perception. How can we make green replace blue, red replace black. And so forth...

C: As you know, each color is a representation of the frequency of the photons, their pulsations. Simply reprogram the brain's interpretation of the incoming information. To what purpose, we ask?

Q: Well, I don't know. I don't see what we'd get from reversing colors, other than knowledge of the human brain programming.

C: Yes, you would get nothing.

Q: Are there physical beings who come to Earth and see green when looking at the sky?

C: Yes.

Q: Wow. OK, let's move on to distance. How is physical distance increased or reduced?

C: By compressing time, or eliminating it. Suspending its effect is a better way to suggest, for humans.

Q: How do we eliminate the limits of velocity?

C: Encase yourselves inside a vessel, then surround it with the energy of the destination. This is for steering, for direction. Then propel the vessel with the energy velocity of a higher dimensions. This will allow the passengers to remain within their preferred range, while taking advantage of the higher range allowing transport.

Q: How do we do this?

C: A clue lies in light multiplication; we shall explain.

Humans already understand light concentration, and a concave mirror will focus sunlight to the point it

can be used to penetrate steel without much difficulty. A magnifying glass in sunlight is a mixture of the power of light restrained to the velocity limits of the human dimensional environment. No multiplication is permitted, only concentration. A fifty meter diameter round, magnifying glass or better, concave mirror will receive direct sunlight and focus this light upon a tiny point, depending on the curvature. Almost no effect will come to the mirror, other than a mild rise in temperature, as would occur to any object placed outdoors in sunlight. Any of you could approach the back of the mirror and touch it with your hand, as you could touch the outer rim of the magnifying glass. The light concentration point, however, would distort nearly any non transparent substance, and effectively destroy it. This light could melt plate steel, destroy concrete, rock and anything else placed in its path.

Take this example and consider not concentration but expansion, by multiplication, of the light frequency. The light oscillates at a frequency, much as does sound. Your science has studied and identified this well.

Multiplication of this frequency will begin to demonstrate entry into higher dimensions.

Q: That means red light at a certain frequency would be raised to a higher frequency?

C: Yes, and the initial frequency range will translate precisely to the higher range, in the same proportions and relativities.

Q: This is different from concentration?

C: Yes; the concentration of red, blue or green light waves by means of a concave mirror would simply provide concentrated red light.

Q: We could filter out all light frequencies but the red....which is what red paint or glass do...

C: Yes, go on....

Q: Then concentrate that red light only and have destructive red light?

C: Yes, however the same size mirror will produce a lesser intensity, because much of the light energy is removed to leave only red. A larger mirror would capture more light and compensate.

Q: We could do this with a red glass filter.

C: Yes, it would absorb all but the red light, and this is a hint into light multiplication.

Q: Now, y'all please go on. How do we multiply, versus filter, light?

C: Crystals; these will split light into two identical photons or pulses of electromagnetic energy. When these pulses are contained within the same reflective field...

Q: Same reflective field?

C: The shape of the enclosure, or the crystal. A multifaceted group of reflective surfaces...

Q: Could we build one with mirrors?

C: No, because the mirrors only pass light one way, reflecting it from its angle or direction of approach. The two way physical interface, such as a prism, will allow light to pass out of the enclosure once saturation is reached.

Q: Saturation?

C: Yes, capacity to receive and reflect ever higher amounts of light energy. Once the light has reached this level, it will pass outside the crystal in what appears to be a burst of light. Unlike the concentrated light, this light is diffused, and emanates from a spherical crystal in precise proportion to the size, number of facets and input energy.

Q: Input energy is light?

C: It can be however electricity is far more efficient.

Q: So how does this increase...well, what does it increase?

C: It increases the frequency, the rate of pulsation. This increase takes the light into the next range, and allows movement within that range.

Q: We perceive light to travel at 300,000 kilometers per second.

C: Increases in vibrational range will proportionally increase this velocity of movement of light into the next range. This will occur along logarithms, what you have identified these to be human understanding of mathematics. The three point triangle moving among four stops, what creates the proton or neutron, is a clue. The first logarithmic increase will be three times four, or a dozen. Thus, one stage of frequency amplification will increase light twelve times. This could be called warp one, three hundred thousand to the twelfth power, or $300,000^{12}$.

Q: That's a big number!

C: So you can see how distances can be reduced as humans perceive them. The distance of say ten thousand light years, is reduced by the twelfth root, at warp one.

Q: So one light "day", which is 25,920,000,000 (twenty five billion nine hundred twenty million) kilometers, requires the twelfth root amount of Earth time? In other words, 7.3756944391662532579509647847229 or almost 26 billion is reduced to less than 7½ ?

C: Yes.

Q: So 25 billion km, more than three times the distance to Pluto from Earth, means at warp one, we could travel from Earth to Pluto in about 2½ seconds of Earth time?

C: Yes, at warp one.

Q: No wonder the galaxy can be crossed in an hour of our time.

C: Yes.

Q: Nobody would believe this is possible.

C: When it is demonstrated, it will be understood.

Q: We're going on a good distance here, I will pick up on this again tomorrow, or later today if "time" allows.

C: Do return; be well.

Comments

Steve 8/6/2016 19:52:55

Hey Pat, We'll have to rid ourselves of zoos and war habits, you forgot to mention the Kardashians, and American rap artist's.

Santanu Acharya 9/6/2016 09:42:32

The frequency of visible light is in the range of 10^{14} (10 to the power 14) as per http://cdn.arstechnica.net/EM_spectrum.png

When you mean that frequency will increase 12 times do we mean that it will be 12×10^{14} or something else? If yes...then it will be a little bit more than 10^{15} which is somewhere in the Ultraviolet light spectrum. We are aware up to 10^{24} which is the Gamma Ray spectrum,

So if you mean increasing the frequency the scientists are already using light with increased frequency without any effect on distance.

So, by "frequency" do you mean this kind of frequency or something else?

Patrick 9/6/2016 10:32:20

Says The Committee: "The frequency range of light travel increases. Your figures refer to hue, color and appearance, much the way frequency of electromagnetic waves carries reproducible sound information. The velocity of its movement rises and falls independently from the frequency of tint, when multiplied. Just as electricity can be amplified, so can light as these can be converted from one to the other."

Santanu Acharya 9/6/2016 15:32:50

Interesting !!! While searching for the matter I came across experiments on photon splitting using certain type of crystals and laser. The process is called Parametric Down-Conversion. Explained here: <http://www.if.ufrj.br/~phsr/PHSR/PDC.htm>

More recently an interesting phenomena has been observed which is called "Quantum Entanglement". When the original photon splits into two photons (using crystal and laser), the resulting photon pair is considered entangled.

These entangled photons demonstrate a "spooky" behaviour. It has been proved that two entangled particles separated by long distances can instantly affect each other or "know" each other's state.
<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-3283317/Einstein-wrong-Ground-breaking-test-reveals-spooky-quantum-entanglement-phenomenon-real.html>

I wonder if these have something to do with the reducing the distance as you mentioned.

Derek 10/6/2016 09:54:56

Maybe no coincidence Star Trek called them dilithium crystals...

Mike 9/6/2016 16:15:10

When you mentioned about alien bases revealed is this what Admiral Byrd saw on his Arctic Travels -
http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/tierra_hueca/esp_tierra_hueca_2d.htm

Also more about the power of thought can be read here with numerous experiments carried out that proves it -
<http://www.lynnemctaggart.com/>

So when you talk about travelling through space to planets, I'm guessing liquid fuels are not the answer?

alex campbell 10/6/2016 03:58:28

Erik says the hollow earth theory doesn't hold water