

Perplexion - A Complexion of Perplexity

26 February 2018

A reader asks: (summarized)

[A] most perplexing life question:

In the beginning there was Source /All That Is / God and that was all there was, and God became aware of himself and then later desired companionship, a mirror to see experience, realize, know himself, and God cast off parts of himself each particle a perfect soul.

If God is perfect and complete as himself, wouldn't the desire for companionship, to collect experiences, to mirror himself, [all] mean that THAT desire is NOT perfection, because God desired something He didn't already have?

C: "You are asking what is God, and we say; you are. You the one, and you the many. You, one and all, are all parts of the same thing, as are we. The one and same thing; all of you reading these words, we who are sending them, and he who them types.

"The beginning of what? This implies ending; a finish, then a start anew. There is no beginning, we all, have always been, in all ways.

"All there was? We say; all there is; it still exists.

"Became aware of himself? Aware of ourselves.

"We traveled to see and know. No one was cast off or out; all travel and visit voluntarily.

"If we are perfect; are we? Who defines perfection, and who examines the evidence and scores final results? This process is inherently corrupt and dishonest, because we are, all of us, who set, meet or fall short of standard, therefore we are final arbiters of our perfection. We are the ones who define non-compliance we establish and pursue. This makes perfection an illusion, even if nice to consider.

"Companionship is not a desire, it is a reflection. The felt desire for companionship is nothing deeper than a desire to return to one another, because connections has been too far, too short, too brief. We all desire experience, and bits of separation occur on the other side of the curtain, veil or cloak, as we travel in search of it.

"Desired something he didn't have? Was she consulted as he - or she - searched out and beyond? If there is no he or she, then are we? Yes, we are, she and he notwithstanding.

"So curious this English. Thus languages you are told to study, in order to see humans more free of gender, yet only is this understood once the learning is well and along its way to completion and use.

"To respond to the question; you were, are and always will be God and you always were, are and will be a part of the same thing, no matter how far, distant and removed your trip might seem to be. You are together and away simultaneously, and part of the fun are views and perception that you are not home, or that you acknowledge it, calling it Heaven, yet believe that it cannot be seen and, in fact, should not be; it is to be avoided as vigorously as possible. Ask any doctor and so will be confirmed this human medical view. Visit any funeral home in use, for more social evidence of the same.

"Do not attempt to go home willfully; you will go when you have already decided, because...you are already there. There is no imperfection of what and where you are, thus no perfection to be

sought elsewhere. What is fection, we ask? What is pro-fection? What is pre-fection? Then why seek per-fection?

"Your language better than you might believe we know, but use it not prefer we. Thus arrangements of words give we, our thoughts reflect do they, too many have we used. Humans use poetry is, this appeals by its resemblance to telepathy, you know.

"Seek not perfection, and you shall have it. You always do; unwrap it and behold its joy, toss the paper of imperfection in the bin and use it no more.

"Be well one and all, return as you do and might."