

One Reader Q&A and Stuff

25 May 2018

A reader comments:

"YouTube videos already exist when the viewer goes to look at them. I can't look at a YouTube video that hasn't been created yet.

"I understand there are infinite possibilities, but we don't get to choose them all. We only make one decision at a time. Sometimes we go so far in one direction, we create conditions that make it impossible to even go back and revisit a choice we initially rejected.

"Therefore, how can a soul in Heaven look to see what 2050 Earth conditions are, when so many decisions aren't yet made? Even TC sometimes says they can't give a prediction because sometimes the decision isn't made yet.

"I am often a contrarian, but not with this. It doesn't make sense to me. I can't even believe all the other readers understand it!

An example taken from a book I am now finishing, "And Then I Knew My Adundance" by fellow Ozark Mountain Publishing author James Nussbaumer: a litter of kittens was raised in rooms where everything had vertical lines; furniture, walls, you name it. After they were grown, they were moved to rooms with horizontal lines and bumped into everything. They perceived what they had learned to see. Likewise do humans.

YooToob videos exist along a timeline you the viewer chooses to join. That's why they seem to already exist; you've chosen to join the timelines along which the videographer placed them.

You make several, even infinite numbers of decisions (*depends on one's definition of decision*) **simultaneously** and ONE OF THE DECISIONS is to see them as being made sequentially, NOT simultaneously. These are sometimes collective decisions, sometimes individual but usually the former. The size of the group or the collective can and does vary.

You are right! A soul CANNOT SEE DECISIONS not yet made. The decisions a soul can see - which we see as our future - HAVE BEEN MADE **already**. If you do not or cannot see this, you have chosen such a view (or non-view, to be precise) and accept it. You chose it; it's fine to not "get it". I don't want to have a diaper bag such as the one my daughter's co-worker received at a baby shower, although the expecting, soon-to-be new mother loves it.

Other things haven't been decided. They decisions are inserted into the timeline where appropriate to their creators and participants, and they seem to occur INDEPENDENTLY of the observer control, BY OBSERVER DESIGN AND CHOICE.

You do believe the other readers understand to some extent; you are a bit frustrated you do not. So what? It takes nothing away from you or anything, that you do not:

- hear the sounds the dog and cat dislike intensely
- prefer or like raw liver many animals consider a delicacy
- share others' points-of-view, perspectives or understanding. This topic isn't a required course, there's no exam and everybody gets a reward. If there were no reward for you at The Amendment, you'd have already stopped coming "to class". Your reward is different from what others give themselves. My reward is the same, no matter which reward any person selects for her/himself.

We turn to the channeling of the day, even if not every day.

Q: Esteemed Committee, you have been prompting me to address the origins of mankind. Since a few days have passed between starting this session and today, I forgot what I planned to ask, so I'll just ask other things.

C: As you prefer. Welcome one and all, greetings.

Q: Another reader recently sent me something about souls, groups and how some are broken off from source. The words "source" and "broken off" seem inappropriate to me, and we had a nice vocabulary joust as we do from time to time. How accurate are human words, and the ones of English, when used to describe things where no human language applies?

C: As inaccurate as they need to be.

Q: Why inaccurate?

C: Words have meaning where words are used, only. It is good to debate what word should mean which thing, as long as you live inside an environment where words are used. Once you return home and use them no more, you will understand their inaccuracy.

Q: Fair enough! Next question: Is Yellowstone gonna blow? I mean, will there be a significant volcanic eruption in Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming USA? (a 9,000 km² wilderness recreation area atop a volcanic hot spot)

C: There is another kind of volcanic eruption? Yes. Several.

Q: The absence of large cinder cones typical of volcanoes such as in Pompeii, Italy or Hawaii, New Zealand, Central America and Japan means there won't be a huge volcanic explosion?

C: The subsurface volcanic infrastructure, to use a human word somewhat accurate, does not feed such an event scenario. The location is east of the volcanic ring surrounding the Pacific Ocean, and allows transfer of subsurface energy on a more regular basis. Thus it will not resist a build up of pressure to an extent where such resistance becomes a factor in a sudden eruptive explosion. There will be significant events however, and large amounts of volcanic ash will be produced.

Q: What's the deal with the school shootings in the USA?

C: Angry frustrated youths who have learned this is a way to settle frustrations and resentments. Mankind has always had this, and those who act out choose locations they see as source and solution for their frustrations.

Q: I imagine the real issue is, why is this happening to youngsters?

C: It always did, the change is the willingness to employ violence, lethal if necessary. There should not be this model of behavior displayed in the endless media sources viewed by youths.

Q: That would be press censorship, an infringement of freedoms of speech?

C: Never should speech or expression be infringed. What we say is, the events should not be broadcast and if they are, they should not be viewed.

Youngsters attend school and adopt information directly from the material presented. This effect does not stop when the dismissal bell rings.

Q: How can we control what appears in the news?

C: Do not consume it, and actively contact the newspaper, website, radio station and magazine which offers the information, images and details. Ask them not to publicize the event voluntarily. It is done because news is a business, advertisers pay for exposure and consumers want this news. When enough consumers of news make clear that hearing about massacres is not to the collective preference, it will not be offered.

Q: The North Korea situation looks like a big game.

C: As it always was and shall continue to be.

Q: I read about new rules in the EU regarding data collection and use. Will these make much difference?

C: No. They are an attempt by frustrated citizens who dislike the misuse of what is considered personal information. What we say is, double the price of the sold information, then return half of the money collected from buyers of such data, to each person whose information was gathered and sold. That will stop the practice almost immediately.

Q: *Why are we humans so concerned with privacy?*

C: Social training, expectation and reaction. All learned behaviors.

Q: *Are our alien extraterrestrial cousins going to become involved in human life in any meaningful or significant way, as events of the shift occur?*

C: No, they are keenly interested in human behavior during such events. There will be advice given, to whomever wishes to employ it. Always to avoid distortion to human life development, however as certain events unfold, offering a choice of reaction will be minor compared to the event effects and shall not become a distortion.

Q: *What sorts of choices will be given?*

C: How to restore infrastructure of many things, such as communication, housing and transportation. Most importantly, agriculture and food.

Q: *How about medical treatment?*

C: Not so much, because a fair amount of medical conditions humans incur are self generated. You might say, self-inflicted. Humans already know which lifestyles and diets are more favorable, we refer to the constant, daily inhalation of tobacco smoke or the regular consumption of ethyl alcohol. The adoption of better diets, regular sufficient sleep and adequate exercise along with the removal of known troublesome components of the diet, will eliminate a great deal, maybe half or more, of current chronic conditions and ailments.

Q: *Better driving, too.*

C: Yes and this will most quickly come from lowered use of drugs.

Q: *What's the attraction of them?*

C: Physical pleasure and mental escape.

Q: *What do we do about inequality of wealth?*

C: Make it more equal, by not measuring oneself in these units.

Q: *Understood, however how do we devise a system where efforts can be fairly rewarded? How have alien ETs done this?*

C: This is a teaching and learning process, just as occurs with violence. Animals use physical force and violence for food and defense, often against other predators seeking food themselves. Humans need not employ violence to eat, not anymore. The technology to cultivate, for example seafood, which does not require violence or suffering in the animal, exists everywhere. You may now end the life of a cow by simply allowing it to go to sleep from lower than absent oxygen. It will simply feel sleepy, as happens to all animals, including humans, who require oxygen. Agriculture is already easy in this way.

Q: *Will changes to diet eliminate the physical need for certain foods, such as complex animal proteins?*

C: Yes, and the evolutionary changes would occur far more quickly than now assumed possible. There are many plant sources now available but disfavored by choice, habit custom thus preference.

Q: *How do alien ET civilizations, the advanced "one percenters" who visit us, provide for an exchange of values in a way satisfying to producer and consumer?*

C: Voluntary product banks are a way to begin this. Each producer can accurately estimate output over time, and list the actual product inventory also. Consumers can check and register for a share of the output. This idea is the root of the political system humans call communism, however the failure of the system on Earth has been the compulsory aspect.

There must be a system where voluntarily, each consumer unable to obtain what is wanted or preferred, seeks an alternative or changes habits. There is little and shrinking emphasis among humans on altering habits, ever increasing attention and demands paid to consumption. This opens wider and wider the gap between who has and who does not.

There has always been the human who steps into the role as mediator and controller, in order to obtain a share of the gain to be had for her- or himself. These attitudes and perspectives are taught. Stop teaching them.

Q: I see the theory and agree completely, but also foresee huge obstacles, as in Donald Trump YUUUUUUUGE, among the majority of us, against the adoption of such method(s).

C: Fear of loss and a perception of scarcity; are these not the wonderful experiences you have gone to Earth to have?

Q: I see the loss of national sovereignty as an issue which would rear its head in such a change, and many humans would resist this.

C: Nation states are not a required social structure, and many an alien extraterrestrial will happily explain how there are civilizations which created them then moved away, and became very sophisticated. There are also others who became very developed and sophisticated yet never went this route socially.

Q: There are no nation states in Heaven?

C: No, of course not. Not even Jedi Knights.

Q: No evil empire, rebel bases, resistances and bad guy versus good guy?

C: All of you are the good guys, as are all of us.

Q: Last Friday there was a school massacre about 90 km away from me and that same evening a bad car crash just outside the local eatery where I was standing outside. The two events were a sharp contrast for me. I helped get the young woman driver out of her overturned vehicle, who was miraculously uninjured. The fire dept, ambulance and police arrived very quickly. Was this haphazard and what was my role? Why was I there? Was it random?

C: No, the recent four car crashes which have occurred near you over the last nine months, all involved you as a first responder to show you the value of life, and the value of life to those people involved. Your interactions with them, all slightly or uninjured despite the severity of the collisions, served to show them something they each needed to see.

Q: This sounds like I attract or cause vehicle collisions.

C: That is the human view; no. You placed yourself, by life contract and agreement, near the location of each event, to play a role for both yourself and mostly those involved. Even the intoxicated driver who caused the final of these four events and sped off to avoid arrest, will learn a valuable lesson for the betterment of herself and many others.

Q: I feel as if I should not discuss these things.

C: This is the human view. No harm or negative effect comes to you, from mentioning it. You struggle with the calling of attention to yourself, however this website is ample evidence that you do not really believe such attention is bad. You had many opportunities to remain anonymous, and chose otherwise.

Q: Many people have similar experiences, do they not?

C: Yes, and such is life. In a life agreement. Where such collisions cause serious injury or fatalities and you would add nothing - an experience you also had and chose two decades ago - you will not be present. Others will fill the roles necessary.

Q: Will I see more car crashes?

C: Yes. You will fill a small but necessary role again. Worry not for a moment, because there will be no negative effects for you.

Q: Okay, one last question on a topic completely different; when I address the UFO Conference next year, will it be popular and beneficial to the people in attendance?

C: Yes, very much so. Plan for it, the popularity. Do not plan what you will say in much detail. We will supply you with the good information, as we have always.

Q: Esteemed Committee, thank you, as always.

C: Be well one and all of you. Do return.