

Human Development Levels

8 November 2017

Comments and asks a reader:

"There are no coincidences, the world is unfolding as it should; everything is on time. Although the teachers assisting us come from many sources, their unified messages vary not in the spirit of content, but rather in words and phrases used, to relate to many comprehensions and intelligence.

For example, a teacher works with an elementary or high school or a college student with professor, the messages geared to each level.

I was told that schoolhouse Earth is one of the least developed inhabited planets, in terms of understanding and progress, but not the lowest; we are comparable to say, kindergarten to 4th grade, with the most developed personalities eventually leaving the Earth after absorbing what they can in a number of incarnations; that is, they go on to incarnate into systems of similar development. Some souls no longer need physical expression and exist as non-physical entities, in the realm of non-time. Even as we on Earth progress at our levels of development, we are all multidimensional beings with other selves developing in other realities, as all time is simultaneous. All time and all realities exist all at once. So the irony here is that it takes time to explain non-time"

A broad comment, covering time, development of the individual soul and Earth societies. Let's ask The Committee about each, beginning with Earth society development.

Q: Before we enter into development, what about the "no coincidences" remark? Are there really no coincidences?

*C: None of material effect, however there are many. Where an approaching coincidental occurrence might detour a life plan away from the liver's intended course [*The Committee's word, not mine. I know a liver is a bodily organ*] it is altered. Many coincidental events occur which are not significant enough to be stopped, modified or accelerated and so each of you allows them to occur. Planning takes place as you sleep each night. You call this free will, although it does incur a cost, thus benefit. Each of you invests value - pays - and extracts something for that payment. Buying it is called, purchasing. Your will is not free in that sense, yet unrestricted by other constraint, except as you erect the barriers, collectively.*

Q: What about level of development?

C: Development of whom, human or souls? There is always a relative difference. We explain; you are not less developed when incarnating, however you are artificially separated from all aspects of yourself, to a point where you feel as if the completeness of your soul is the hoax, the artificial thing.

Once in this position, you are prepared to explore opportunities the completeness of your soul cannot.

Development is a relative term; so developed means what? Against the completeness of your soul, there is no thing which can be called over- or underdeveloped. Only when artificial portions are extracted and put into motion can these sub-parts and sub-pieces in motion be compared to one another, and a ranking system established.

Q: The reader comments about non-time we've covered before, to put it mildly.

C: All of you exist in non-time. Your perceived existence inside a time illusion is simply that. It does not seem like one, as all good illusions do.

Q: I liked the term "schoolhouse Earth" ...

C: Except Earth is not a schoolhouse, but for the few beings who choose this view. Earth is an opportunity for experience. What is presented thus learned is up to the learner teacher, for s/he is one and the same together. No human schoolhouse combines these functions.

Q: *If things humans consider problems, such as murder, war, disease, famine, drought, and many others, are compared to rates of occurrence on other planets, how does Earth stack up?*

C: This comparison cannot be made. The factors and component ingredients are not the same. Can humans conduct a fair contest comparing lasagna to hamburgers? Both are food, however....

Q: *My question really speaks to the human habit of ranking and classification.*

C: Yes, a good thing inside an environment which requires physical measurement and constant units. How can it be assumed another society has or needs such units?

Q: *Obviously mankind can develop more.*

C: Yes, however why do you say obviously?

Q: *Because we have things the vast majority would like eliminated.*

C: Yes. Then eliminate them.

Q: *I know we all hate war, but we feel powerless to stop them.*

C: Not all of you feel powerless to stop them, and there are many capabilities of defense which can stop a war quickly. We understand what you mean, avoid the onset of hostility in the first place. This choice is even more easily available.

Simply never start wars. The problem is, this choice accompanies the belief that standing down exposes oneself to attack. The real question is, why are there urges to attack? Gain. Deny gain to an attacker; none will.

Q: *It isn't so simple.*

C: Yes, it is.

Q: *Therein lies the perception that we humans are not well developed, because we still succumb to the urge to attack for gain.*

C: Stop supplying gain as reward for attacks.

Q: *Does this translate to individual crime?*

C: Of course, attacks on an individual level always represent a form of gain. Anger, revenge, money or anything which can be sold are all examples of gain. Stop perceiving things this way.

Q: *How? Please supply the formula.*

C: $E = mc^2$

Q: *Einstein's formula, energy equals mass times the speed of light squared? Huh?*

C: Yes, the speed of light is assumed to be the maximum speed of any and everything. This belief immediately renders the notion of light speed squared, wrong. If the speed of light is the limit, it cannot be squared. That becomes an oxymoron, such as saying decaffeinated caffeine, dehydrated water or deoxidized rust.

Light's velocity is said to be three hundred thousand kilometers per second. What mathematician or mathematics student will say three hundred thousand cannot be multiplied by itself? The result is ninety billion.

Mass is what? Pick your units, it does not matter which. Measure your object in these units, measure light speed in your units, then multiply. This is simple mathematics.

Energy is the outcome. We will say, light and mass are the outcome, the energy is the starting point. The formula really should be division, not multiplication however you cannot use Earth and human units of velocity and mass to create an equivalency in energy units.

Einstein pointed this way with his formula. When the process is seen from its true and natural side, in the order it truly occurs - energy, to velocity then mass - the possibility thus reality of denying gain as motive and incentive for attack, becomes clear.

Q: That was one HEAVY sentence, a really concise explanation for LARGE concepts.

C: Yes, a book could be written. Our answer supplies what would be a preface to such book.

Q: I'll write it when I can afford the time, because I know such book would not be commercially viable, not until after I would neither benefit nor care. No matter, I'd enjoy writing it with all of you, just for the enjoyment.

C: We will see to this opportunity.

Q: So, the common statements offered here and elsewhere, that science and religion are essentially the same thing but seen from different angles, applies in this example?

C: Yes. The appearance of a Guardian Angel, a religious belief to many humans aware of either Guardian, religions or both, occurs as a direct result of the interplay of the components of the formula, energy, mass and velocity or speed.

To have your Angel appear, s/he slows velocity or speed but maintains mass. This alters energy and it begins to align with yours. Religions speak of an Angel's appearance. Science calls this visibility, transparency and other terms. The darkness or transparency of solid or liquid materials is a scientific analysis. These are the same thing, are they not?

Q: Okay, so let's go back to the war and/or violence and gain aspect, where getting something represents an incentive to be violent at a collective level. I don't mean an individual such as the Sutherland Springs mass murderer, I mean war, invasion and etcetera.

C: That killer saw death of an adversary as a gain, and also planned to have his life end in a way that did not require his taking of it. The fear of death operates in some cases and did in this one, as an attraction.

Had the killer, young Mr. Kelley, understood that he cannot die and cannot escape the consequences, he would never have thought his actions might represent an incentive. There would be no gain, he would not perceive this to be possible.

Alive he disliked several people to whom he is now closer than ever. The souls of his targets who did not die are now closer to him as they dream and he visits with them, and they represent the opposite of what he would have wanted in life, had this been understood.

He saw gain in causing suffering, pain and death. What has been obtained is the opposite. Humans teach each other to kill, then recoil in horror when a student of these teachings applies them in practice.

Humans will protest at this statement yet we will say, why do five and six year old children nearly never kill one another intentionally? Simply because they have not yet been taught to and perceive it, as gain.

Q: Okay, let's take World War II. What gain did Japan hope to realize by conquering the Pacific?

C: Control of resources.

Q: Nazi Germany?

C: Control of people. Both these answers closely involve the other, resources are for people and vice versa. Both sought entry to the same room through a different door.

Q: *How do eliminate control of resources as perceived gain?*

C: Eliminate the illusion of scarcity.

Q: *Sounds so easy! But how?!?*

C: Lower expectations, accept seeing but not having availability. Many humans do, but not all. Envy flares up from this, created by a taught set of emotions that having is equivalent to being.

There will never be incarnations of humans on Earth in excess of the available resources which allow both survival and the ability to thrive in a good, enjoyable and productive life, however and in such way any of you choose to see that. You are all far too smart for this. The distortions in availability are entirely and completely human generated.

Lower expectations while simultaneously creating greater availability of the expected thing, and watch.

Q: *Give us a concrete example, puhleeeeeeeze.*

C: In your nation, medical treatment availability is of higher understanding & technology and better application than it has ever been, yet complaints surrounding low or un-availability are common.

You choose, as a society, to focus almost entirely on imperfection, not the historical and especially recent improvement and great advancements. This choice creates the notion of scarcity. At some point the possessors will be attacked if the wanter believes such aggression will fill desires. It is understood that a doctor threatened with violence might not perform well, so the controllers of money are threatened with being replaced in office by the patients who create the belief in money having value.

These are choices, one and all.

Q: *Have many other planets with physically incarnated beings who are really a soul, as are we, generally free of violence, both individual and collective?*

C: Yes.

Q: *Did they follow your ideas, to achieve this peace?*

C: Yes, however they did not follow our ideas, we are simply observing and repeating. Humans may develop and choose to reach such peace very quickly and in one generation.

Q: *Maintaining freedom of expression?*

C: This is an essential component. Missing, no peace will come.

Q: *The world seems to be battling acceptance of differing opinions.*

C: It always did, however the ability to transmit news, images and ideas quickly and across the globe have highlighted what might appear to be a growing problem but in reality is a gap quickly closing. The appearance of the problem is evidence of its demise.

Q: *Different opinions there will always be?*

C: There have to be. Your political leaders and many observers are quick to say that there should be togetherness, that a certain elected official should bring the people together. We say, quite the opposite. There should not be togetherness of opinion, not ever. There should be acceptance a different opinion is offered.

Q: *Thank you Esteemed Committee, we covered a lot of turf there.*

C: Cutting grass we enjoy, Be well one and all.