

France

11 May 2017

A reader suggested I discuss my wildly wrong prediction for the France presidential election. I disagree but will follow the advice anyway.

My immediate and sole response is and will be: after being so wrong, what explanation could be right? Worth dog shit, I nevertheless offer my regrets, as useless as those might be.

Discussion points to contemplate at leisure or with displeasure. If still smarting from the outcome, avert eyes now.



"That's a trigger warning, folks."

١. Given the accuracy of previous predictions, why would they always be?
٢. Why are we humans fascinated with financial gain & windfall?
٣. What about the anonymous loser on the other side of the bet? A bookmaker always wins.
٤. Why do we pursue certainty, pleasure and all things positive but avoid the negative? Does this approach make negatives disappear? Or simply affect others with whom we'll never interact?
٥. How much gain was realized with previous predictions? What goes up must come down, except here & now?
٦. Does mostly correct = a passing grade but means total doubt when expectations & hopes are even higher?
٧. Where was the breakdown? Bad GAGs, bad medium, bad attitude?
٨. Is the unaffordable loss worth the joy of gain? In other words, **should security result from chance or prudence?**
٩. Gain is worth what others perceive; so is loss. Money is worth - and only - what is agreed.

Final thoughts: I said some months ago I would no longer offer predictions after hearing bets were being made. I believe both sides should come to the transaction to equally enjoy risk & outcome, to live and bet another day.

I reversed that attitude because it is arrogant to control others. The other side of the bet, unaware of what a reader bettor of TheAmendment.net might think, must be considered. Who looks out for them but themselves?

The purpose from the beginning has been to show accuracy in the many other things The Committee says. Yours truly never makes bets with such information and I confess feeling disappointed - *even cheapened* - to think this website was a glorified gambling parlour.

To hear of readers stepping over newly opened financial craters is horrifying. I am going to avoid the unpleasant, as a prudent human should.

Water under the bridge and the river is still flowing.