Europe Trumped?

A reader asks:

"With all the upcoming elections in the EU like The Netherlands, France and Germany:

1. Can we expect any shifts 'Trump' style this year?

2. Two of the president nominees in France are under great attack this week because of financial allegations, Le Pen and Fillon. Are they going to drop out of the race?

3. What are the chances that the extreme right politician Geert Wilders is going to win in the Netherlands and if so,

3a. Will Wilders try to lead the Netherlands out of the EU like Brexit style?

4. What will be the financial outlook for the ECB (European Central Bank) and the Fed (United States Federal Reserve)?

4a. Will they continue with QE (quantitative easing) or will they taper?

I read on other divine sources that if Clinton had won that there would have been a total global financial system collapse in February or March.

5. Was that true and how are things changing now?"

Greetings and regards, we thank you all for your time and attendance to what we say, and begin with the idea a divine source we are. This depends upon the definition of divine, and often is this associated with religious belief and worship, and must say this we are not. No worship does readership of our ideas represent, as you all know, and no leadership of humanity could we become, in the way humans have given over the idea such leadership is held by the human figures of Buddha, Mohammed and Jesus, to name but three. None of these we represent, we are no different from any of you reading these words, except that some of you possess a physical body we lack. To be and see, as humans. So divine we are not, and neither are the sources you have consulted. We understand the intent the use of the word carries yet we hope to clarify, as we believe all interests are better served by emphasizing we are not human religion.

To question numbered one we say, somewhat yes however no. Trump style no, because this new USA president came from far outside the political arena. The new leaders to emerge in Europe are not that far removed from the area of elected public officials. There will be political shifts which move in the directions taken by the citizens of the USA and these will seem vigorous and strong by comparison to recent trends in public policy, in Europe and the nations in question. They will not resemble the abrupt shift Mr. Trump has made in the USA, which we say is not so abrupt as painted by the reporters of public opinion, the holders of the story, the makers of narratives.

Question two, we say very unlikely. These moves are attempts by political opponents to expand transaction into scandal, however are very unlikely to either provoke the voluntary departure, or bring about the electoral defeat of the candidates targeted.

To number three, we say is this man the extreme right or simply resistant to trends which have strayed far from the positions he holds? It is easy to make a man step forward when all surrounding him, step back. He has not moved yet has, relative to the movements of others. To your question specifically and not your
label of his philosophy, we say Wilders will progress politically as a result of this election. The ultimate
decision remains with the voters, and they have not decided. Wilders' probability of prevailing is not low.

3a: The Netherlands will depart the European Union, early in the process of the disassembly of the
assembly, if not by Wilders' lead, then by, with & from others. The population now grows weary of the
European Union restrictions, whose costs are seen to be too expensive for the benefits suggested.

Question four, these are questions about the economies of the areas affected, the monetary authorities
simply a cog in the financial gearbox. As we have said previously, there will be steps forward and
backwards, in the near term, covering the time during governments led by Trump and the incoming
leadership in Germany, France and The Netherlands, the trends somewhat more positive in the USA. In
Europe there is more turbulence coming, as part of a greater move towards economic slowdown. To the
process of quantitative easing, and we must say we enjoy this as we do many euphemisms humans so
enjoy inventing, this is but a small piece of the greater trend in motion, and is not material.

Finally to question five, no there was unlikely to be a collapse if Mrs. Clinton had prevailed. Her
government would have taken the USA and the world's economies in similar directions already followed
for some time, and we say, less positive for the majority. The stark differences would become apparent
with impartial comparisons made between the two courses at years five and seven of either
administration, alternative realities humans cannot compare. We have the luxury of examining many
courses and have the ability to compare, and we can say the space between them, at several years out, is
not small. Pay no mind to this, as the comparison will need not be made. Your evaluation of what
develops will be upon the merits of what is had, not what might have been.

Your lives on Earth do not operate this way; the sequentiality of Earth existence is bountiful and unique.

Well we wish all of you, do return when you might.