

# Disinformation

9 April 2018

Says "the" dictionary: (others might say differently)

dis·in·for·ma·tion *noun*

false information that is intended to mislead, especially propaganda issued by a government organization to a rival power or the media.

Compared to:

mis·in·for·ma·tion *noun*

false or inaccurate information, especially that which is deliberately intended to deceive.

Let's misinform some disinformation, shall we?

*Q: Esteemed Committee, keep me out of really hot water (such as boiling) but let's get into warm enough liquid to make things interesting, if that is okay. There doesn't seem to be much difference between these two words, the second including a bit of inaccuracy from maybe laziness or incompetence but the first word seems as deliberate as possible. Can you expand on this?*

*C: As long as we do not use names, there is no direct risk. The purveyors of dis- and misinformation will simply use the tactic their detractors employ, which is to complain that no credible source exists and the information cannot be believed, because no names are involved. This will be a good layer of protection.*

Concealment requires two parts, the act and the actor. Both must be hidden.

Yes, there is a slight difference in the intended meanings of the words, but they represent the same thing, which is deception.

*Q: Who creates then spreads disinformation?*

*C: Whomever has the ability and benefit.*

*Q: Freedom of the press, is not?*

*C: Compulsion does not work well, the press is generally free to do as it pleases on Earth. In some places it comes under pressure, in others it comes under incentive, this latter effect far more common. It is vigorous in places where the press is deemed free.*

Once compulsion is withdrawn, the object at which it was aimed will change course. It is far more effective to make the press an associate, giving rewards and friendship along with the threats to harm, which are subtle, implicit and understood to be powerful.

*Q: The other day, there was a fire reported in the Trump Tower in New York, where the President of the United States lives (or lived, before Washington DC.) Was this fire accidental or otherwise and was press reporting a part of mis- or disinformation?*

*C: No to the second part of your question, and not accidental in the first part. The fire was intentional, deliberate.*

*Q: The press is a part of it?*

*C: No, this event does not involve deception by press reports or firefighters, all of whom believe what they encountered and were told.*

Q: *OK, then what about disinformation provided to a rival, such as in sports, business or conflict?*

C: This is as old as information and belief. Have you observed the New Zealand rugby side preparing for competition?

Q: *That isn't disinformation, it's intimidation.*

C: That is how the process begins, one side discovers it can create belief. Because New Zealand can usually win, its pre-game act reinforces such belief in its opponents.

Q: *I know it's very effective in military operations and law enforcement uses it to good effect also. What about overall and with the population in general?*

C: Equally if not more effective.

Q: *Please explain the process, with the free press.*

C: The press is never told to issue information known to be both incorrect and against principles. The method involves identifying but usually creating people in positions of authority inside press organizations who are glad to issue information which lines up with their preferences.

Q: *In other words, they sell their standards and morals.*

C: Yes. Is not the sale of sexual activity jokingly deemed the world's oldest profession?

Q: *What is the world's oldest profession, by the way?*

C: Food.

Q: *So, the sale of information spread is as old as the practice of information and news?*

C: Yes; since the creation of information for sale, there have been attempts, sometimes successful sometimes not, to buy access.

Q: *I remember the murders of prominent journalists in Colombia who wrote editorials and reported news very unfavorable to narcotics dealers.*

C: That is but a crude method of intimidation and silencing, and is effective only as long as the killers can. That is not disinformation.

Q: *How prevalent is misinformation in the western press, for example the USA, UK, and Europe? How about in other large countries such as India, China and Russia?*

C: China and Russia control the issuance of information, and to greater or lesser extents, consumption. India is much less restricted, similar to western nations.

Q: *Which is to say, compromised voluntarily.*

C: Yes, to a similar degree.

Q: *How has it developed in, say the United States?*

C: It is managed the way intelligence gathering operates, a practice as old as nearly all established governments. The way to gain access is develop a willing partner. In the USA in the press, this is accomplished through education. Presenting certain ideas, removing others. Over time, the belief system created will work efficiently on its own, and its believers will readily accept, digest and issue forth a perspective they know and understand, often willing to be critical of what they do not prefer.

The acknowledgement and acceptance of different opinions and views is an integral part of disinformation, because this component lends great credibility to the intentional misinformation. Without debate and disagreement, the disinformation will quickly rise to the surface and become seen as propaganda.

Inside the education portion, the de-emphasis on fact and analysis are essential. The prioritization of opinion and view, with emotional appeal mixed in, leads the students in the direction preferred by the overlords.

Q: *We don't have overlords in the USA.*

C: No, you do not but in the minds of the people in overlord positions, they believe they are and that you do have them. We will say, you are not as far away from this as you might believe.

In the United Kingdom, the overlords have always been a part of the scene, accepted and seen as an essential part of the process. They are called royalty, yet cede what appears to be a large amount of authority to a civilian government. This authority is true and real, however ultimate authority, out of public view, lies with royalty. The system thrives on the free flow of information, where the ultimate authority does nothing to interfere. Control is accomplished through content of misinformation, nearly nobody outside its creators able to recognize it.

There are different sources of information creation, and each one does not know others exist. When news is disseminated, one creator might well believe the misinformation is bona-fide. Just as spies do not know how many, the identities, number or operations of others.

In the USA, the disinformation system is sophisticated and very capable of denouncing, confusing or ignoring information which threatens the interests of the overlords.

The assassination of the USA's thirty fifth president (John F. Kennedy) was an event highly unlikely to be repeated, because the doubts consume much energy to refute, efforts which can better be directed towards improved, more effective disinformation.

Q: *What about recent suggestions of old peccadilloes of a moral nature against the president of the USA?*

C: These are not disinformation but crude, superficial attempts by political operatives to damage the image of the leader. True disinformation is always reasonable and well crafted, and appears to be anything but. For this characteristic, it is effective.

Q: *Many people say the current president is rude, crude and offensive; his manner and approach are bitterly disliked by a lot of people.*

C: Because of two reasons; there is always a group which loves something and another group which feels the opposite. No matter how Mr. Trump spoke or what he said, some would dislike him. This base becomes the foundation for others who have been trained to always see a national leader as smooth, understanding and diplomatic. Some humans would always develop such preferences, however education, the press and the entertainment businesses have portrayed a smooth, likeable countenance for many decades. A majority of Americans, at least, have never seen a public figure like Mr. Trump or do not remember one in so long, their preferences have changed.

The natural dislikers combined with the trained observers, form a significant opposition group.

We suggest the several previous presidents of the United States as examples; the public manner and approach of Mr. Clinton, Reagan, Bush, Bush's son and Mr. Obama stand out in stark contrast, do they not?

Q: *How do the disinformers get the message out and delivered?*

C: They mostly believe it, but key masters, very few are there, make certain the subordinates, themselves given enormous power and authority, follow the preferred line. You just understand you should not say or do certain things to or with your parents, rarely requiring specific instruction.

Unlike families, which rarely reject children for ideology or unacceptable behavior, key disinformers who challenge or suggest a threat to the system, are separated from the process. They lose employment, reputation and access. This is done in such way that potential misinformers learn to fear a similar fate.

If this comes to public light, the recently outcast threat is labeled a disgruntled former employee. Many types of negative information will be used to denounce the threat, to smear her or him and remove their voice from the public square.

Q: *How much of what is discussed in the press is bona fide, authentic and based on true facts and honest opinion, not intentionally created disinformation?*

C: About two thirds.

Q: *So one third of what's there is dishonest?*

C: No, much less, because there are many participants willing to carry the dirty water honestly.

Q: *Who dirties the water?*

C: You want names?

Q: *Yes, obviously!*

C: Your life and purpose and those of your readers of these words, are too valuable in this current moment. If this were revealed, then steps would be taken to discover what is known besides the name. Only the issuance of a name or names would bring about, if anything, a strong and correct statement saying the suggestor is making up things and is delusional.

Nevertheless, anyone possessing the name and willing to make it public in such way that attention will be given to it, will become suspect of having access to additional information which might implicate the subordinates of the Water Polluters.

Q: *Are the real Water Polluters able to be connected to the information?*

C: It is very difficult, because humanity is built around ownership as a control concept. Disinformation does not see or want ownership, only control. Once information is launched, ownership is irrelevant. Effect is what matters, as an influence to news consumer behavior.

Q: *Why does this occur?*

C: Fear disguised as control. The core group of controllers of each faction or region of the world and human societies - there are three - believe truly that mankind would descend into chaos if central control and leadership did not exist.

This is not true.

The threat to controller water polluters can multiply by and through the rapid spread of information via the internet. It is too obvious to attempt to curtail this technology, and more effective to lure dissent out of the unknown corners where it might previously lurk until becoming powerful enough to be risky.

By making certain the internet is widely used and accessible to everyone, it becomes far easier to identify hot spots. Surgical applications of correction are equally if not more effective and also virtually unknown, when compared to across the board restrictions of access.

Q: *Give us a recent example of disinformation.*

C: Press reports of trouble with key White House staff and imminent dismissal or resignation.

Q: *Thank you and I am sure this topic will come up again.*

C: Well should you all be. Our thanks in return.